

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/



The Gordon Lester Ford Collection Presented by his Sons Werthington Chauncer Ford Paul Leicester Ford NewYork Public Sibrary.



THE

SPEECHES

OF

IOHN WILKES,

One of the Knights of the Shire for the

COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX,

In the Parliament appointed to meet at Nestminster the 29th day of November 1774, to the

Prorogation the 6."day of June 1777.

With notes by the Editor.

VOL.1.

LONDON.

1777.

ngitized by Google

THE NEW YORK PURLICLIERARY 155909 ASTOH, LENOX AND TILDEN FOUNDATIONS.

ADVERTISEMENT.

Have collected the Speeches of Mr. Wilker in the present House of Commons from newspapers and oral tradition for two reasons. The first is, they contain, in my opinion, many bold truths, especially respecting America, which are of importance, and in this convenient form they will be found more useful. The other reason is, if that gentleman should ever swerve from the great line of public duty, and declared attachment to the people, which he has often pledged himself to pursue through life, the most general infamy may overtake him, and punish his apostacy.

The *Protests* of the Lords on the same subject with some of the *Speeches* I thought would be acceptable to the political reader.

Some Notes are added, chiefly by way of illustration, for which I am answerable.

THE EDITOR.

London, July 9, 1777.

VOL. I.

B

VOTES

Votes of January 26, 1775.

A motion was made, and the question being proposed, "That the Chaplain to this House do "preach before this House, at St. Margaret's, "Westminster, upon Monday next the thir-" tieth day of this instant January, &c."

THE Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilker, faid, that the was for the observance of the day, not in the usual manner by fasting and prayer to deprecate the pretended wrath of heaven, but in a very different way from what some other gentlemen had proposed; that it should be celebrated as a festival, as a day of triumph, not kept as a fast; that the death of the first Charles, a determined enemy of the liberties of his country, who made war on his people, and murdered many thousands of his innocent subjects, an odious, hypocritical tyrant, who was,

pigitized by Google

in the great * Milton's words, ipso Nerone neronior, should be considered as a sacrifice to the public justice of the nation, as highly approved by heaven, and ought to be had in solemn remembrance as the most glorious deed ever done in this, or any country, without which we should at this hour have had no constitution, degenerated into the most abject slaves on the face of the earth, not governed by the known and equal laws of a limited monarchy, but subject to the imperious will of an arbitrary sovereign.

Votes of Feb. 1, 1775.

A motion was made, and the question being put,

- 66 That leave be given to bring in a bill for
- "fhortening the duration of parliaments, &c."

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid, that the question now before the house had been so fre-

Joannis Miltoni, Angli, pro popula Anglicano defensio.

In another place Milton says, Eam animi magnitudinem vobis, ô cives, injecit Deus, ut devictum armis vestris et dedititiura regem judicio inclyto judicare, et condemnatum punire, primi mortalium non dubitaretis. Post hoc facinus tam illustre nihil humile aut angustum, nihil non magnum atque excelsum, et cogitare et facere debetis...amore libertatis, justitiæ, honestatis, patrie denique caritate accensos, tyrannum punisse.

frequently and fo ably spoken to by the honourable gentleman*, who made the motion. and that it was in general so perfectly well understood, that he should trouble the house with few words on that occasion, and that he rose chiefly to return the worthy member thanks for this truly patriotic endeavour, and noble perseverance in a business of such importance. He added, Frequent Parliaments, Mr. Speaker, are the ancient constitution of England, and the right of the people to them arises from the nature of all delegated power, and the necesfity of a controul. If a representative in the first session of a parliament acts contrary to the duty of the trust reposed in him, is it fit that his constituents should be compelled to wait till the end of a tedious period of feven years before they can have an opportunity of depriving him of a power, which he so early abused? I think the case now mentioned actually exists in the yery dawn of this new parliament. Several gentlemen have talked of the last parliament in the terms of reproach and indignation, which that profligate affembly most justly merited. I fear, fir, the present parliament are treading in the B 2

^{*} Mr. Alderman Sawbridge, Member for London.

the same steps, which conducted their immediate predecessors to the utter hatred of the nation. They feem to advance with giant firides to a like detellation from this age, and from all posterity. The people without doors, especially in the capital, make no scruple to affirm that the majority of this house have even thusearly, in one great inflance, afted contrary tothe plain duty, which they owe to their country, and to the facred truff repofed in them. I allude, fir, to the contempt shewn of the Petition t of fo respectable a body as the Merchants of the city of London trading to North America. This the majority have done in defiance of all decency, and of the great principles of the constitution. I am forry to observe, that the alarm is already become general, that from this

[†] The fecond petition of the Merchants, Traders, and others of the City of London, presented by Mr. Alderman Fluyley, Member for London, to the House of Commons, Jan. 26, 1775, states, "that by the red" solution to which the house hath come, respecting the reference of their said petition, [the first Petition of Jan. 23, 1775,] they are absolutely preduded from the benefit of such a hearing, in support of their said petition, as can alone procure them that "relief, which the importance and present deplorable thate of their trade require."

early abuse of their trust, the delegated powers, which the same men have so lately received for the security and preservation of the rights of their constituents, will be employed through a course of the next seven years for their destruction, and that of our sellow-subjects in America, unless the excellent motion of the honourable gentleman should arrest them in their career.

A new argument, fir, in favour of the motion in your hand feems at this time to arise from the nature of most of the Petitions complaining of undue elections, which have been presented to us in this first session of the parliament. The general complaint is that of bribery and corruption. Short parliaments, fir, if they did not totally eradicate this most pernicious practice, must necessarily diminish the evil in no small degree. By the frequent return of appeals to the people, the public money in the minister's hands would not be found always adequate to the crooked counsels of an infidious court, nor to a determined purpole of regularly counteracting the wishes of a nation. The floodgates of the treasury, however widely opened, would on such repeated occasions BA **fcarcely**

Digitized by Google

fearcely afford torrents copious and impetuous enough to carry away all fense of duty to the constitution, all regard to the laws and liberties of the country. If this house were elected for a * short term only, a commerce of corruption between the minister and the representative could not grow up to acquire the strength and consistency, which is given by a period of seven years security and independency on the power, by which we were created.

I beg

Thoughts on the cause of the present discontents.

8vo edition, page 66.

If the persons to whom the trust of government is committed hold their places for fort terms; if they are chosen by the unbiassed voices of a majority of the state, and subject to their instructions; liberty will be enjoyed in its highest degree. But if they are chosen for long terms by a part only of the state; and if during that term they are subject to no controul from their constituents; the very idea of liberty will be lost, and the power of chusing representatives becomes nothing but a power, lodged in a few, to chuse at certain periods, a body of masters for themselves and for the rest of the community.

Dr. Price's observations on Civil Liberty, fifth edition, p. so.

^{*} The House of Commons---was considered as a controul, issuing immediately from the people, and fpeediby to be resolved into the mass from whence it arose.

I beg the indulgence of the house, fir, for only one more short observation. This motion firikes me as a kind of parliamentary test, which brings every thing home to our consciences. It cannot fail of meeting in this house the support of all the true friends of the ancient constitution of England, of all, who mean to act honestly, for they run no risque. They are fure of the applause, and free choice of their constituents, on every fresh appeal. The venal and interested; all, who think lightly of their ties and obligations to their masters, and do not hold themselves bound to hear and redress the injuries of the nation they reprefent, but are regardless of the feelings + of

the

⁺ The virtue, spirit and essence of a House of Commons confilts in its being the express image of the feelings of the nation. [not of 5.48 gentlemen met in St. Stephen's Chapel.

Thoughts on the cause of the present Discontents, p. 67.

Many in all ages, and sometimes the whole body of the Commons, have refused to give their opinion in fome cases, till they had consulted with those that sent them: the houses have been often adjourned to give them time to do it; and if this were done more frequently, or that the towns, cities, and counties, had on tome occasions given instructions to their deputies, matters

the people, intent only on the public plunder; all these have their terrors, and certainly not ill-grounded, on the first suggestion of an appeal to their conflituents. From such men only an opposition to this motion is to be expected. The representative, who is conscious of having merited well of his constituents, will always rejoice at the opportunity of applying for frequent proofs of their regard and trust, will defire, will earnestly solicit, this appeal, while the man, who has acted contrary to the clear dictates of his duty, and betrayed his trust, will naturally dread every such occasion, will tremble even at the distant view of the spirited indignation, with which he would be rejected. A guilty mind, fir, frequently braves the filent reproaches of a wounded conscience, but can seldom bear up against that public contempt and infamy, which I truft will always purfue parliamentary prostitution.

Votes

Algernon Sydney, 4to ed. of 1763, p. 424.

matters would probably have gone better in parliament than they have often done.

Votes of Feb. 6. 1775.

Resolved, That it is the opinion of this Committee, "That an humble Address be 66 presented to His Majesty, to return His 44 Majesty our most humble thanks, for having 66 been graciously pleased to communicate to 66 this House, the several papers relating to the se present state of the British Colonies in America, 46 which, by His Majesty's commands, have 66 been laid before this House, and from which, 44 after taking them into our most serious con-66 fideration, we find, that a part of His Ma-" jesty's subjects in the province of the Massa-" chuset's Bay have proceeded so far to relist 66 the authority of the supreme legislature, that " a rebellion at this time actually exists within the faid province—that we beg leave, " in the most solemn manner, to affure His " Majesty, that it is our fixed resolution, at 66 the hazard of our lives and properties, to " fland by His Majesty, against all rebellious " attempts, in the maintenance of the just " rights of His Majesty and the Two Houses. " of Parliament."

And

And a motion was made, and the queffion put, "That the faid resolution be re-com-"mitted."

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid,

Mr. Speaker,

The business before the House, in its full extent respecting the British Colonies in America, is of as great importance as was ever debated in parliament. It comprehends almost every question relative to the common rights of mankind, almost every question of policy and legislation. I do not mean to enter into so vast, so well-trodden a field. I will confine myself to the immediate business of this day. The Address now reported from the committee of the whole House appears to me unfounded, rash, and sanguinary. It draws the fword unjustly against America; but before administration are suffered to plunge the nation into the horrors of a civil war, before they are permitted to force Englishmen to theathe their fwords in the bowels of their fellow-subjects, I hope this House will seriously weigh the original ground and cause of this unhappy dispute, and in time reflect whether justice

justice * is on our fide, and gives a fanction to the intended hostile proceedings. The assumed right of taxation without the confent of the subject is plainly the primary cause, of the present quarrel. Have we then, sir, any right to tax the Americans? That is the great important question. The fundamental laws of human nature, and the principles of the English constitution, are equally repugnant to the claim. The very idea of property excludes the right of another's taking any thing from me without my consent, otherwise I cannot call it my own. No tenure can be so precarious as the will of another. What property have I in what another person can seize at his pleafure?

The enquiry, whether the war with the Colonies is a just war, will be best determined by stating the power over them, which it is the end of the war to maintain: And this cannot be better done, than in the words of an Act of Parliament, made on purpose to define it. That Act, [passed in 1766] it is well known, declares, That "this kingdom has power," and of right ought to have power to make laws and "statutes to bind the colonies, and people of America, in all cases whatever."----Dreadful power indeed to I defy any one to express slavery in stronger language. It is the same with declaring, "that we have a right to do with them what we please."

Dr. Price's Observations on Civil Liberty, p. 34.

fure? If my part of my property is subject to the diferetionary power of others, the subole may be so likewise. If we can tax the Amevicans without their confent, they have no property, nothing they can call their own with certainty, for we might by violence take the whole as well as the part. The words liberty and preparty, so dear to an Englishman, so pleasing in our ears, would become a cruel mockery, an infult to an American. The laws of fociety are profesfiedly calculated to secure the property of each individual, of every subject of the state. This point is no less clearly determined by the great principles of that happy conflictation, under which we live. All fubfidies to the crown have always been confidered, and expressly declared, to be grants from the Commons of the realm, free gifts from the people. Their full consent is stated in the grant. Much has been faid of the

The premable of the Act passed in the year 1775, ch. 42. intitled, "An Act for granting to His Massis jefty a certain fum of money out of the Sinking Fund, and for applying certain monies therein mentioned for the fervice of the year 2775; and for further appropriating the supplies granted in this session of par-

the Palatinate of Chefter, and the Principality of Wales, and the period of their taxation t but. fir, there is a more remarkable case in point. which alone would determine this question. If gentlemen will fearch the Records in the Tower, and the Chapel of the Rolls, they will find that the town of Calais in France, when it belonged to the imperial crown of this realm, was not taxed till it sent a representative to parliament. A Thomas Fowler actually fat and voted in this House as a burgess of the From that period, and not town of Calair. till then, was Calais taxed. The Writ out of Chancery, and the Return in the reign of Edward VI. are still extant. I faithfully gave them to the public from atteffed copies.

It

Edwardus Sextus dei gratia Anglise Francis & Hibernise Rex, fidei defenfor & interea acclefia Anglicanæ

[&]quot; parliament," is in the following words, "We, your "Majesy's most dutiful and leyal subjects, the Commons of Great Britain, in Parliament assembled, towards raising the necessary supplies, which we have chear- fully GRANTED to your Majesy in this session of par- liament, have resolved to give and GRANT to your Majesy the sum herein after mentioned, Sc. Sc."

Retornamentum Parliamento de Anno Regai Regis
 Edwardi Sexti primo,

It will, I foresee, sir, be objected, Is America then to enjoy the protection of Great Britain, and to contribute nothing to the support of that parent state, which has so long afforded it safety and security, which has carefully and tenderly nursed it to this hour of its present strength and greatness? The Americans themselves have given the sullest answer to this objection

næ & Hiberniæ supremum caput, dilectis & fidelibus subjectis deputato & confilio villæ suæ Califiæ salutem. Quia de avisamento & afsensu consilii sui pro quibusdam arduis & urgentibus negotiis, nos statum & defensionem regni noftri Angliæ & ecclesiæ Anglicanæ concernimentibus quoddam parliamentum noftrum apud civitatem nostram Westmonasteriensem quarto die Novembris procedentis teneri ordinavimus, & ibidem cum prelatis Magnatibus & preliberis dicti regni nostri colloquium tenere & tractatum, vobis Mandamus firmiter iri jungentes quod immediate post receptionem hujus brevis nostri nominari & elegi facietis unum honorabilem & discretum inhabitantem intra villam nostram predictam ad affendendum Burgensem pro parliamento nostro predicto pro villa predicta & Marchiis ejusdem, pro negociis in eodem parliamento agendis juxta formam cujusdem actus in parliamento domini Henrici nuper regis Angliæ octavi, nuper patris nostri percarissimi, apud Londinum predicto die Novembris anno regni fui vicefimo primo & exinde per diversas prorogationes usque Westmonasterium quarto die Februarii anno regni sui vicesimo septimo adjornato & tunc

jection in a manner not to be controverted, by their conduct through a long feries of years. and by the most explicit declarations. Equal-Jy in words and actions, of the most unequivocal nature, they have demonstrated their love, their ardour, their strong filial piety to-Von I. wards

tunc edito & poltea vis, eumque ad dictos diem & locum venire facietis. Ita quod idem Burgensis plemam & sufficientem potestatem pro se & communitate villæ & Marchiarum predictarum habeat ad faciendum & consentiendum iis quæ tunc ibidem de eo consilio dicti regni nostri favente Deo contigerint ordinari fubter negoeiis antedictis. Ita quod per defectu potestatis hujusmodi scripturæ, improvidam electionem Burgensis predicti dicta negocia infra non remaneant quovis modo, & cum electionem & nominationem illam sic faceretis, illas nobis in Cancellariam nostram distincte & apte sub sigillis vestris ad dictor diem & locum debite certificetis, indilate remittentes nobis hoc breve una cum retornamento nominis ejusdem Burgensis dicto Teste meipso apud Westmonasterium brevi consuto. secundo die Augusti anno regni nostri primo.

This is a true copy of the original record remaining in the chapel of the Rolls, having been examined.

HEN. ROOKE. Clerk of the Rolls.

Excellentissimo & metuendissimo domino noftro Edwardo Sexto Dei gratia Angliæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regi fidei defensori & interea supremo capiti Anglicanæ & Hibernicæ ecclesiæ. Nos vestri humiles Major

wards the mother country. They have always appeared ready, not only to contribute towards the expences of their own government, but likewise to the wants and necessities of this state.

Major Burgenses & liberi homines communitatis Villæ vestræ Califiæ benignissimo modo quo poterimus gratiæ vestræ certificamus, quod virtute honorabilis brevis veftri de funmanico parlismenti vuper sobio directi & de litterati cui illud retornamentum nimirum efficanneseum, per allenfum'& confenium tories Comitatis vilize vestræ Caissiæ predictæ & Marchiarum ejuklem-unum hominem de melforthus & discretioribus ville vesteze Califia predicta elegi fecimus, videlicet Thomani Fowler diche ville veltre generofam ad affendendum' Burgensem ad parliamentum vestrum predictum ad diem & locum in predicto brevi vestro specificarum, qui quidem Burgenlis plenam & fufficientem potestatem pro fe & tota comitate villæ veitræ Califice predictæ & Marchiarum einidem habet ad faciendum '& consentiendum iisque tunc & ibidem de Communi Consilio vestro Deo favente contigerint ordinari, prout breve villam predictam in se exigit & requirit. In quorum premissorum testimonium nos predicti viri humiles Wajor Burgenfes. & liberi homines communitatis wille vellræ Califiæ predicta & Marchiarum ejuidem Sigilium Majoratafus Officii Villa vellræ Califiæ predictæ presentibus fecimus apponi. Datum vicesimo die Octobris anno regni gratiæ vestræ primo.

This is a true Copy of the original record remaining in the Chapel of the Rolls, having been examined.

HEN. ROOKE, Clerk of the Rolls.

Pate, although penhans they may not be over fond of all the proud, expensive trappings of royalty. In the two last wars with France they far exceeded the cold line of prudence. -With the most liberal bearts they chearfully -gave you nearly their all, and they fought gal--lantly and wicheriously by your side, with equal valour, against our and their enemy, the common enemy of the liberties of Europe and America, the ambitious, faithless French, whom now we fear and flatter. Our Journals, fir. will bear witness to the grateful sense we had of the important fervices of our breshren in America, by the great sums we shall find voted to be repaid them for what they expended in the fpirited warlike expeditions, which they carried through with equal courage and conduct. The Lege; and capture of Louisburgh, the various fuecelsful operations against the general-foe. without the least knowledge, much less partiequation, on our part, are the fullest proofs of the warm affection of their hearts to this country, and of their readiness to bear more than their share of the public expense and sburthon. But fir, the whole was the gift of freemen, our fellow-subjects, who feel that they are, C_2 who "

who know they have a right to be, as free as ourselves. What is their language even now. at a moment when you are planning their destruction, when you are branding them with the odious appellation of rebels? In the late Petition of the Congress to the King they declare, they are ready and willing, as they ever have been, when conflitutionally required, to demonstrate their loyalty to his Majesty, by exerting their most strenuous efforts in granting supplies and raising forces. This is the unanimous resolution of a Congress, composed of Deputies from the several colonies of New Hampshire, Massachuset's Bay, Rhode Island and Providence Plantations, Connecticut, New-York, New Jersey, Pensylvania, the counties of Newcastle, Kent, and Sussex on Delaware, Maryland, Virginia and the two Carolinas. I have heard, fir, of a plan of accommodation, which I believe would reconcile all differences. But alas! fir, it does not come from any fervant of the crown, It comes from the *noble Lord,

ťo

^{*} The Earl of Chatham. In the house of Lords on the 30th of May 1777 Earl Gower, Lord President of the Council, with that mild, winning air of modesty

to whom this country has the most effential obligations, to whom it is so highly indebted for its late splendor and glory. The plan is to assemble another Congress in the spring, the parliament of Great Britain, and the deputies of the several colonies to meet together, jointly empowered to regulate the various quotas to be paid by each province to the ge-

C 3

neral

desty and meekness, which characterise the Bedford school, declared, that "he must affirm, no public so notice whatever by any man, or body of men, by " any Affembly, or Congress, by any printed Amerier can newspaper, or pamphlet, had been taken of 44 Lord Chatham's plan of reconciliation between Great 66 Britain and her Colonies, that it funk immediately into absolute oblivion, for not even a hint was thrown out on the other fide the water complaining that the proposition was rejected, or acknowledging, se that if the Bill had passed into a law, it would have es produced a reconciliation, or that it contained even the outlines of a fit accommodation, or any thing to or proceed upon, and therefore he was plainly right in es giving his vote against the noble Earl's proposition, se and the Bill that accompanied it, two years ago." The words were taken with great exactness. The Duke of Grafton heard them, and answered many parts of Lard Gower's speech; but, whether from ignorance, or malice to Lord Chatham, I do not determine, his Grace was filent on the plan of reconcilia-

neral trealery of the whole empire. I would in addition to that plan propole, that a regislation. fimilar to what adveally takes place with refrect to Scotland, be adopted as to America. The proportion of each colony mache be feesled according the land-can in England, at one, two, or more shillings in the pound. am not deep politician enough to know what

the

tion. To establish the veracity of Ban Gower, I shall produce an extract of only one public act, the Address of the General Assembly of Virginia, presented on the 14th of June 1775, to his Excellency the Right Hon. John Earl of Dummore, Governor of Virginia, &c. &c. and printed in all the American Newspapers. It is reprinted in Almon's American Remembrancer. vot. r. p. izz.

"There was, indeed, a plan of accommodation of-" fered in parliament; which, though not entirely equal to the terms we had a right to afk, yet differet ed but in few points from what the General Coner gress had held out. Had parliament Been disposed in fineerely, as we are, to bring about a reconciliation. " resionable men had hoped, that by meeting us on " this ground, something might have been done. Lord " Chatham's Bill, on the report, and the terms of the "Congress on the other, would have formed a bulisfor negociation; which a spirit of accommodation on " both fides, might perhaps have reconciled. It came recommended, too, from one whose frecessful expe-" rience

which will vary greatly in half a century, but I speak of each quota being at all times to be regulated according to the land-tax of this country. The very extensive and flourishing colonies of the Massachuleu's Day, Virginia, and South Carolina, for instance. Should contribute more, the smalley and poorer.

C 4 colonies

Oh! happy Prive Cauncil of England, where fin-

When Barl Gower was appointed Prefident of the Council, the King, with his usual finerity, assured him, that he had not had one happy moment, since the Duke of Bedjard left him."

Junius, vol. 1. p. 172.

Digitized by Google

[&]quot;riente in the art of government should have ensured to it forme attention-from those to whom it was required dered. He had shewn to the world, that Great Britain, with her colonies, united firmly under a just and homest government, formed a power, which. "might hid defiance to the most patent enemies. With, a change of ministers, however, a total change of measures took place: the component parts of the empire have, from that moment, been falling assumes der; and a total annihilation of its weight, in the political scale of the world, seems justly to be apprehended."

[&]quot;Is there any one mode of thinking or acting with respect to America, which the Duke of Grafton has "not successively adopted and abandoned? p. 89. "In

colonies of New Hampshire and New Jersey less; but, sir, I insist not a shilling can be taken without their consent. After this day's debate should the Address now moved for be carried in this House, I greatly sear that not only this wise plan of the noble Lord, but every idea of a reconciliation between this country and her colonies will be utterly impracticable.

The Americans, sir, have of late been treated, both within doors and without, in a manner, which marks no small degree of injustice, and even a wantonness of cruelty. We have been repeatedly told to-day, that they

com-

[&]quot;In America, we trace you [the Duke of Grafton] from the first opposition to the Stamp-Act, on principles of convenience, to Mr. Pitt's surrender of the right; their forward to Lord Rockingham's surrender of the fact; then back again to Lord Rockingham's declaration of the right; then farward to taxation with Mr. Townshend; and, in the last instance, from the gentle Conway's undetermined discretion, to blood and compulsion with the Duke of Bed-ford." P. 83.

Was not Lord Chatham the first, who raised the "Duke of Grafton to the rank and post of a Minister, and the first whom he abandoned? Did he not join with Lord Rockingham, and betray him? P. 88.

complain of the Navigation-Ast, and infift on the repeal of it. We have authentic evidence to the contrary. In the resolutions of the Congress they desire only to be put on the footing they were at the close of the late war, as to the system of statutes and regulations;". nor among the various acts, of which they. folicit the repeal, have they once mentioned either the Navigation, or Declaratory Act. It. has likewise been afferted, that they are froward and angry enough to wish to throw off the supremacy of the *mother country. Mamy express resolutions, both of the General Congress, and the different Provincial Assemblies, are the fullest evidence of the sense, which the Americans entertain of their obedience and duty to Great Britain. They are. too numerous to be quoted. Their full claim. as stated by themselves, is so explicit and clear, that I beg leave to read it to the House from their Petition to the King, It declares, We alk. but for peace, liberty and safety. Surely, fir, no re-

Lord Chesterfield's Letter of Dec. 25, 1765

I never saw a froward child mended by whipping, and I would not have the mother country become a sepmether. Our trade with America brings in, communibus annis, two millions a year.

chains better founded. It expressly mentions, We wish not a diminution of the prerogative, nor do we solicit a grant of any new night in our favour. Your royal authority over m, and our connection with Green Britain, we shall always carefully and zealously endeavour to support and maintain. What a contrast, fir, does this make with the proceedings of administration at home! They are sedulously endeavouring to tear asunder those powerful ties, which have long and happily land and bound us together.

The Address, sir, mentions the particular province of the Massachusset's Bay as in a state of actual rebellion. The other provinces are held out to our indignation as aiding and abstraing. Many arguments have been employed by some learned gentlemen among us to involve them in all the consequences of an open, declared rebellion, and to obtain the fallest orders for our officers and troops to act against them as against rebells. Whether their present state is that of rebellion,* or of a fit and just resist-



The oath of allegimee binds no private man to, more than the law directs; and has no influence upon the

reilitance to unlawful acts of power, to our secompts to rob them of their property and liberties, asithey imagine. I half not declare. This I know, a successful resistance is a recollution, 1901 a rebellion. Rebellion indeed appears on the Back of a flying enemy, but Revolution fixmes on the break-plate of the victorious wartion. Who ean tell, Ar, whether in consequence of this day's violent and mad Adhrels to His Maiesty, the seabbard may not be thrown away by them as well as by us, and should success attend them, whether in a few years the independent Americans may not celebrate the glorious ara of the revolution of 1775, as we do that of 1688? The generous efforts of our forefathers for freedom heaven erowned with forcels, or their noble blood had dyed our feaffolds, like that of Scottiff trainers and rebels, and the period of our history; which does us the most honour, would have been .deemed

the whole body of every nation: many princes are known to their subjects only by-the injuries, leffes, and mischies brought upon them: -- where the long-of itself is neither good nor evil, more than any other war, but is just, or unjust, according to the cause or manter of it.

Algemen Sydney, p. 416.

deemed a rebellion against the lawful authority of the prince, not a resistance authorised by all the laws of God and man, not the expulsion of a tyrant.

. The policy, fir, of this measure I can no: more comprehend, than I can acknowledge the justice of it. Is your force adequate to the attempt? I am satisfied it is not. What are your armies, and how are they to be kept up and recruited? Do you recollect that the fingle province of Massachuset's Bay has at this moment 30,000 men well trained and disciplined? Do you not know that they can bring near 90,000 men into the field? They will do it, when every thing dear to them is at stake. when they have their liberties to defend against cruel oppressors and invaders. You will not be able to conquer and keep even that fingle province. The *noble Lord with the blue ribband proposes only 10,000 of our troops to be there, including the four regiments now going from Ireland, and he acknowledges with great truth, that the army cannot enforce the late act of parliament. Why then is it fent? Boston indeed you may lay in ashes, or it may be

^{*} Lord NORTH.

De made a strong garrison; but the province will be lost to you. Boston will be like Gibraltar. You will hold in the province of -Massachusser's Bay, as you do in Spain, a sin-'gle town, while the whole country remains in the power and possession of the enemy. Your fleets and armies may keep a few towns on the coast, for some time at least, Boston, New-York, St. Augustine, but the vast continent of America will be irrecoverably loft. A few fortresses on the coast, and some sea ports only, will remain in your possession. All the back settlements will be independent of you, and will thrive in the rapid progression of your violences and unjust exactions on the towns. A new, and amazing landed interest will be created. The ancient story of the Carthaginian bide will be verified. Where you tread, it will be kept down; but it will rife the more in all other parts. Where your fleets and armies are stationed, the possession will be secured, while they continue, but all the rest will be loft. In the great scale of empire, you will decline, I fear, from the decision of this day, and the Americans will rise to *inde-

pendence,

The Declaration of Independency by the Repre-

pendence, to power, to all the greatness of the most renowned states, for they build on the solid basis of *general, public liberty.

Istromble, fir, at the almost certain confesquences of fuch an Address, founded in cruelty and injustice; equally contrary to the found maxims of true policy, and to the uneming rule of natural right. The Americans will certainly defend their property and their liberties with the spirit of fraemen, with the spirit our angestors did, and I hope we should, exert on a like occasion. They will sooner declare themselves indetendent, and rifque every confequence of fuch a contest, than submit to the galling voke, which administration is preparing for them. An Address of this sanguinary nature cannot fail of driving them to despair. They will fee that you are preparing not only to draw the fword, but to burn the feabbard. In the most hans manner you are declaring them nebals. Every idea of a reconciliation lliw.

Contatives of the United States of America in Congress essential was made on the 4th of July 1776, about a year and a half after this Address of the House of Commons.

In Congress at Philadelphia, April 16, 1776, Resolved, That no Haues, be imported into any of the United Colonies.

will vanish. They will pursue the most vigozours measures in their own desence. The
whole continent of North America will be
distinct from Great Britain, and the wide
arch of the raised empire fall. But I hope the
just vangezonce of the people will overtake the
authors of these pernicious coursels, and the
loss of the fift province to the empire be
specially followed by the loss of the heads of
these ministers, with advised these wicked and
satal measures.

Worzs of Feb. 22, 1775.

.: A motion was made, and the question put,

" That the Resolution of this House of the

* :17th day of February 4769, that John

"Wilkes, Esquire, having been in this feffion

. 46 of Ranhament respelled this House, was, and

is, incapable of being eletted a Member to

46 serve in this present Parliament, be ex-

" pungediformathe Journals of this Houle,

466 as boing Subverline of the rights of the

'46 whole body of slectors of this kingdom?'

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid, Mr. Speaker,

The motion, which I shall have the honour of submitting to the House, affects, in my opinion,

opinion, the very vitals of this conflictution, the great primary sources of the power of the people, whom we represent, and by whose ; authority only, delegated to us for a time, we are a part of the legislative body of this king-. dom. The proceedings of the last parliament, in the business of the Middlesex elections, . gave a just alarm to almost every elector in the nation. The fatal precedent then attempted , to be established was considered as a direct attack on the inalienable rights of the people. Many of the most respectable bodies in this kingdom expressed their abhorrence of those arbitrary measures. They proceeded so far as to petition the crown for the diffolution of that Parliament, as having been guilty of a flagrant abuse of their trust. Above 60,000 of our fellow-subjects, freeholders of the realm, carried their complaints to the foot of the throne; a number furely deferving the highest regard, at least from a Minister, whose whole attention was not engrossed by the 6000 borough electors, who return a majority for him to this House. The people, sir, were in a ferment, which has not yet subsided. They made my cause their own, for thev

they saw all the powers of government exerted against the constitution, which was wounded through my sides, and the envenomed shafts of a wicked administration pointed at our laws and liberties no less than at a hated individual. The plan was carried on for some years with a spirit of malevolence and rancour, which would have difgraced the very worst, but with a per-Severance, which would have done honour to the best, cause. I do not mean, sir, to go through an irksome detail of the various persecutions and injuries, which that person suffered. I hope with a becoming fortitude. I have forgiven them. All the great powers of the state were at one time combined to pour their accumulated vengeance on me. The two Houses of Parliament chose me as the most acceptable victim, which could be facrificed at the shrine of their court idolatry, and even *imperial Your pointed his thunder-bolte, red with Vot. I.

In Junius's letter to the King of Dec. 19, 1769, it is faid, "the destruction of one man [Mr.Wilkes] "has been now, for many years, the fole object of your government," [not the happiness of millions, whom God had committed to his care, but the destrution of one man, whom he ought to have protected as his subject.]

Junius, vol. 2. p. 38.

uncommon wrath, at my devoted head. I was scorched, but not consumed. The broad shield of the law protected me. A generous public, and my noble friends, the freeholders of Middlesex, the ever steady friends of liberty and their country, poured balm into my wounds: They are healed so that scarcely a scar remains. But, fir, I feel, I deeply feel the wounds given to the conflictution. They are still bleeding, and this House only can heal them, as well as restore the constitution to its former state of purity, health, and vigour. May I be permitted to point out the mode of cure, and the falutary methods, which I think you ought to apply? Before I proceed to the remedy, I shall beg the indulgence of the House to state the case with precision and accuracy. I hope they will forgive a dry, but candid and short, narrative of the principal facts, because I mean to argue from them. I will give them as briefly as possible, and with all the impartiality of a bye-stander.

Mr. Wilkes was first elected for the county of Middlesex, on the 28th of March 1768. He was * expelled the 3d of February 1769, and

In that Refolution of the House of Commons

and the fecond time chosen, without opposition, the 10th day of the same month. On D 2

the first article in the Aggregate Fund of Mr. Wilkes's crimes, which were the pretended causes of his expulsion and incapacity, is the being author of the Prefatory Remarks on Lord Weymouth's execrable epistle, relative to the fill unexpiated massacre in St. George's Fields on the 10th of May 1768, which the present Comptroller of His Majesty's Household, Sir William Meredith, thought a very good reason for Mr. Wilkes's election. In his pamphlet of "The Question " stated," Sir William says, "Was I a freeholder of "Middlefex, fo far am I from thinking, that to " make the feverest animadversions on such a letter, " is a ground of incapacity, the more a man arraigns " (what I take to be) such unconstitutional positions, " the fitter be is to be entrufted with the rights of " the people." p. 29. No prosecution whatever on the charge of that infolent, scandalous, and seditious libel, as the Prefatory Remarks were voted to be by both Houses of Parliament, of which Mr. Wilkes avowed himself the author, has been carried on against him in any court of law. I shall only transcribe the famous libel. and fome passages relative to it, without any observations.

The Prefatory Remarks were,

Dec. 10, 1768.

I fend you the following authentic state paper, the date of which, prior by more than three weeks to the fatal with of May, shows how long the horrid mussacre in St. George's Fields had been planned and determined upon, before it was carried into execution, and how long a bellish project can be brooded over by some infernal spirits without one moment's remorfe.

Ex-

the day following the election was vacated, and he was declared by a majority of the House

Extract from Mr. Wilkes's Address to the County of Middlesex, dated from the King's Bench Prison, Dec. 17, 1768.

I hold myself accountable to you for every action of emy life, which respects the public. I desire to stand, or fall, by your free and unbiaffed judgment. I wish to be directed by your counsel and instruction in all matters of importance.---- I take the earliest opportumity, gentlemen, of flating to you the following parziculars. I caused to be printed and published in the St. James's Chronicle of December the 10th, a letter of the Secretary of State, [Lord Weymouth] written from St. James's on the 17th of April to Mr. Juffice Ponton, with some Prefatory Remarks. I avow the publication of both. I will go further, and declare, that I first, several months ago, transmitted to the preis the letter of the Secretary at War [Lord Barrington dated May the 11th, returning thanks in the King's name to the officers and foldiers of the third regiment of Guards, for the rank and foul murders committed in these fields on the noth of that month. 'My hand trembled, while I copied what I blushed to read, and I gave it to the public in the only way my prefent fituation could admit, with the hope of promoting a parliamentary enquiry into that bloody transaction, which I trust this second publication will now accomplish, and because I think this free nation has a right to be fully informed of the conduct of admini-Atration in so important a concern, as the loss of the Lives of many subjects. Administration complains of

me

House incapable of being elected into that Parliament. Notwithstanding this resolution

D₃ of

me for having published the letter of the fecretary of I complain to the nation that fuch a letter has This is not a letter on any personal butiness or pleature. I would have scorned to have published any such. This letter was from a Secretary of State to the Chairman of the Quarter Seffions at Lambeth, and is written in characters of blood. It affects deeply the conftitution of this country, and every man in our island. I will at present leave it to the honest indignation of every Englishman. I shall now only add, that if I have not given to the public a most accurate and faithful copy, I all pardon of the Secretary of State. If I have, then I will fay, that for the innocent blood of our countrymen foils in consequence of that letter, the writer of it ought to alk. forgiveness of God and his country, and pass the remainder of his life in penitence and tears.

Extract from the Journals of Feb. 3, 1769, vol. 32; page 175.

Evidence was given to the House by two of their members in their places, that the Her printed in the said newspaper is an exact copy of the original letter addressed to, and received by Daniel Ponton, Esquire, Chairman of the Quarter Sessions at Lambeth

The Speech of Mr. Wilkes, when a prifoner, at the Bar of the House of Commons, Feb. 3, 1769.

Mr. Speaker,

I acknowledge that I transmitted to the Press the Letter of the Secretary of State, Lord Weymouth, and

of the House, he was a third time, on the rotts of March, elected without opposition; for fun-

that I wrote and nublished the Prefatory Remarks to it; and, fir, whenever a Secretary of State shall dare to write fo bloody a fcroll, I will through life dare to write fuch Prefatory Remarks, as well as to make my appeal to the nation on the occasion. I alk pardon, fir, that I made use of too mild and gentle expressions, when I mentioned to wicked, to inhuman, to cowardly a massacre, as that in St. George's Fields on the 10th of May. I pledge myfelf to the House, that whenever a day shall be appointed to go into this important inquiry, I will bring evidence here to prove the truth of every word I have afferted. I hope the House, fir, will fend for Mr. Ponton, and examine him, whether he did or did not receive that letter from the Secretary of State. If he answers in the affirmative, I am sure, from the virtue of this House, that they will immediately order an impeachment against the Secretary to be carried up to the Bar of the House of Peers.

Extract from the Journals of Feb. 2, 1769, vol. 32, page 176.

Resolved, That the Introduction to the Copy of a Letter, addressed to Daniel Ponton, Esquire, Chairman of the Quarter Sessions at Lambeth, and dated St. James's, the 17th of April 1763, contained in a certain Newspaper, inticuled, "The St. James's Chromiele, or the British Evening-Post, from Thursday December the 8th, to Saturday, December the 10th, 1768, printed by Henry Baldwin, at the Britannia Printing-Office, No. 108, Fleet-Street," of which Introduction John Wilkes, Esquire, a Member of this House,

fuppose the ridiculous attempt of a + Mr. Dingley, who had not a single freeholder to propose, or vote for him, can hardly be called an opposition. That election however was de-

House, has now at the Bar of this House confessed himself to be the author and publisher, is an insolent, scandalous, and seditious libel, tending to inflame and thrup the minds of His Majesty's subjects to sedition, and to a total subversion of all good order and legal government.

Extract from the Journals of Feb. 3, 1769, vol. 32, page 178.

A motion being made, and the question being put, That John Wilkes, Esquire, a Member of this House, who hath at the Bar of this House, confessed himself to be the author and publisher of what this House has resolved to be an insolent, scandalous, and seditious libel, &c. &c. &c. be expelled this House.

The House divided.

Tellers for the Yeas { Lord Frederick Campbell, } 219

Tellers for the Noes { Lord John Cavendish, } 137

So it was resolved in the Affirmative.

† This unfortunate person had been persuaded by the Duke of Grafton to set up for Middlesex, his Grace being determined to seat him in the House of Commons, if he had but a single vote. It happened unluckily that he could not prevail upon any one freeholder to put him in nomination.

Juniue, vol. 1. p. 60.

clared void the next day. On the 13th of April Mr. Wilkes was a fourth time elected, by a majority of 1143 votes against Mr. Luttrell, who had only 296. The same day this House ‡ voted, "that Mr. Luttrell ought to have been returned" On the 29th of April, a Petition was presented to the House from the freeholders of Middlesex by a worthy § Baronet, who is not only an Komour to this House, but to human nature; notwithstanding which the House on the 8th of May refolved, "that Henry Lawes Luttrell, Esquire, "ia duly elected a Knight of the Shire to serve in this present Parliament for the county of Middlesex."

These, sir, are the great outlines, the leading sacts. I will not trouble the Clerk to read all the resolutions, to which I have alluded. They are fresh, I am persuaded, in the memories of gentlemen. I only call for that

[†] Sir Fletcher Norton, when it was proposed to punish the Sheriffs, declared in the House of Commons, that they, in returning Mr. Wilkes, had done no more than their duty.

Junius, vol. 1. p. 704 § Sir George Savile, Baronet, Member for York-shire.

that of Feb. 17, 1769, respecting incapacity the certain consequence of expulsion.

[The Clerk read the Resolution.]

Now, Sir, I think it fair to flate to the House the whole of what I intend to move in consequence of the facts stated, and the Reso-Jution just read. The first motion I intend is. se that the Resolution of this House of the " 17th of February, 1769, that John Wilkes, 44 Esquire, having been, in this Session of Parlia-66 ment, expelled this House, was, and is, in-44 capable of being elected a member to serve in 46 this present Parliament, be expunged from " the Journals of this House, as being subver-66 five of the rights of the whole body of elecof tors of this kingdom." This I hold of necessity to restore the constitution, which that Resolution tears up by the roots. I shall then, if I succeed, if justice and a reverence for the constitution prevail in this Parliament, proceed to the other Motion, " that all the de-66 clarations, orders, and resolutions of this "House, respecting the election of John "Wilkes, Esquire, for the County of Mid-" diesex, as a void election, the due and le-" gal

The words of the Resolution of the 17th of February 1769, which I mean more particularly to combat, are, "was and is incapable," and the explanation of them the same day in the order for a new writ, " in the room of " John Wilker, Esquire, who is adjudged in-44 capable of being elected a Member to ferve "in this present Parliament." In the first formation of this government, in the original fettlement of our constitution, the people expressly reserved to themselves a very considerable part of the legislative power, which they confented to share jointly with a King and House of Lords. From the great population of our island this right could not be elaimed and exercised personally, and therefore the many were compelled to delegate that power to a few, who thus were chosen their deputies and agents

agents only, their representatives. It follows from the very idea of a choice, that such choice must be free and uncontroused, admitting of no restrictions, but the law of the land, to which the King and the Lords are equally subject, and what must arise from the nature of the trust. A Peer of Parliament, for instance, cannot be elected a Member of the House of Commons, because he already forms a part of another branch of the fame legislative body. A lunatic has a natural incapacity. Other instances might be mentioned, but these two are sufficient. The freedom of election is then the common right of the people of England, their fair and just share of power; and I hold it to be the most glorious inheritance of every subject of this realm, the noblest, and, I trust, the most solid part of that beautiful fabric, the English constitution. Here I might lean, fir, on the most respectable authorities, which can be cited, the fupreme judicature of this kingdom, and the venerable judges of former ages as well as of our own times. I met them accidentally this morning in the course of my reading, as an old friend

friend* of Wilkes and Liberty, now alas! lost to every sense of duty to his country, frequently tells another great assembly, that he accidentally meets in this manner all his tiresome quotations. The House of Peers, sir, in the case of Ashby and White in 1704 determined, "a man has a right "to his freehold by the common law; and the

* The Duke of Grafton. Junius in a letter to his Grace of May 30, 1769, says, "you complained ---" that your friend, Mr. Wilkes, who had suffered so 46 much for the party, had been abandoned to his fate " --- as for Mr. Wilkes, it is, perhaps, the greatent " misfortune of his life, that you should have so ma-" ny compensations to make in the closet for your 46 former friendship with him. Your gracious master " understands your character, and makes you a persecu-" tor, because you have been a friend." Vol. 2. p. 79.

Now, my Lord, let me alk you, Has it never occurred to your Grace, while you were withdrawing this desperate wretch [Mac Quirk] from that justice which the laws had awarded, and which the whole people of England demanded against him, that there, is another man, who is the favourite of his country, whose pardon would have been accepted with gratitude, whose pardon would have healed all our divisions? Have you quite forgotten that this man was once your Grace's friend? or is it to murderers only that you will extend the mercy of the crown? Vol. 1. p. 59.

Was he i the Duke of Grafton I not the bosom friend of Mr. Wilkes, whom he now purfues to destructim? Vol. 1. p 89.

law having annexed his right of voting to 44 his freehold, it is of the nature of his free-46 hold, and must depend upon it." On the fame occasion likewife they declared, " it is sabfurd to fay, the electors right of chusing es is founded upon the law and custom of parse liament. It is an original right, part of the constitution of the kingdom, as much as a parsi liament is, and from whence the persons se elected to serve in parliament do derive their 44 authority, and can have no other but that 46 which is given to them by those that have the original right to chuse them." The greatest law authorities, both ancient and modern, agree in the opinion, that every subject of the realm, not disqualified by law, is eligible of common right. Lord Coke, Lord Chief Justice Holt, and * Mr. Justice Blackstone,

are

Mr_

Doctor Blackstone is Solicitor to the Queen. The Doctor recollected that he had a place to preserve, though he forgot that he had a reputation to lose. We have now the good fortune so understand the Doctor's principles as well as writings. For the desence of truth, of law, of reason, the Doctor's book may be safely consuled; but whoever wishes to cheat a neighbour of his estate, or to rob a country of its rights, need make no scruple of consulting the Doctor himself.

Junius, vol. z. p. 93

are the only authorities, which I shall cite. I regard not, fir, the flavish, courtly doctrines propagated by lawyers in either house of Parliament, as to the rights of the subject, no more than I do as to what they pronounce high treason and rebellion. Such doctrines are delivered here only to be reported elsewhere. These men have their reward. But the venal tongue of a proflitute advocate or judge, is best answered by the wife and sober pen of the same man, when in a former cool moment, unheated by party rage or faction, after the fullest deliberation, he gave to the nation, to the present age, and to posterity, a fair and impartial detail of their undoubted rights',

Mr. Grenville quoted a passage from the Doctor's excellent Commentaries, which directly contradicted the doctrine maintained by the Doctor in the House of Commons. Page 122.

In the Commentaries, after a long enumeration of disqualifications, it is added, "But subject to these restrictions and disqualifications, every subject of the realm is eligible of common right."

Commentaries on the Laws of England. By William Blackstone, Esq; Vinerian Professor of Law, and Solicitor-General to the Queen, ato edition printed at Oxford in 1768. vol. 1, page 176.

rights, and when he laid down in clear and express terms the plain law of the land. Lord Coke fays, "He which is eligible of common " right, cannot be disabled by the faid ordi-" nance in Parliament, unless it had been by " act of Parliament." Lord Chief Justice Hole declares, " the election of knights besee long to the freeholders of counties, and it 46 is an original right, vested in and insepa-44 rable from the freehold, and can no more be " fevered from their freehold, than their free-" hold itself can be taken away." Mr. Justice Blackstone in the first book of his Commentaries on the Laws of England, bas the following words, "fubject to these restrictions se and disqualifications, every subject of the 46 realm is eligible of common right." This common right of the subject, fir, was violated by the majority of the last House of Commons, and I affirm, that they, and in particular, if 'I am rightly informed, the + noble Lord

[†] This is a mistake. Lord Stanley, now Earl of Derby, declared at that time in the House of Commons, "That the worthy magistrate [the Lord Mayor, "Mr. Wilker] was mistaken in ascribing to the noble "Lord with the blue ribband that declaration. It was "his

Lord with the blue ribband, committed by that act high treason against Magna Charta. This house only, without the interference of the other parts of the legislature, took upon them to make the law. They adjudged me incapable of being elected a member to serve in that

Par_

* his father, the late Lord Strange, who made use of ." that expression."

General Fitzroy, Member for Thetford, and Vice-Chamberlain to the Queen, likewise asserted. " That 46 the magistrate was also mistaken in attributing his " expulsion to the noble Lord with the blue ribband, " for it was a just, and the favourite, measure of the " noble Duke, his brother, who was then Minister." ·The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, replied, that what one brother had, perhaps with more truth than difcretion, confessed of the other, ought to be the subject of an impeachment from all the Commons of England against the Duke of Grafton, on the very evidence of the Member, who spoke last, and he expatiated on the insolence, as he termed it, of a Peer's interfering in the elections and privileges of the Commons, and of declaring who foodld fit in that House. Such barefaced usurpations on the rights of the people were, he faid, the old genuine Stuart maxims, which he hoped had taken their flight at the accesfion of the Brunswick line. He concluded, that the House ought to be alarmed at the open avowal, that the expulsion of one of their Members was a favourite measure of an arbitrary Minister, the Member of another House, and predetermined in another place, that he could not suppress his indignation, that any man could

Parliament, although I was qualified by the Taw of the land, and the noble Lord declared in this house, "if any other candidate had only fix votes, he would feat him for Middefex." I repeat it, fir, this violence was a direct infringment of Magna Charta, high treason against the sacred charter of our liberties. The words, to which I allude, ought always to be written in letters of gold. " No 46 freeman shall be differzed of his freehold, or 46 liberties, or free customs, unless by the 46 lawful judgment of his peers, or by the law 44 of the land." By the conduct of that majority, and of the noble Lord, they assumed to themselves the power of making the law, and at the same moment invaded the rights of the people, the King, and the Lords. The two last tamely acquiesced in the exercise of a power, which had been in a great instance fatal to their predecessors, had put an end to Vot. L F. their

could entertain the idea of deriving a kind of imputed family merit from a violation of the laws of his country perpetrated by a brother, and that the approbation and voluntary confession of a crime committed by another, but almost incapable of proof, argued a degree of folly most justly the object of pity, but a total want of principle, which excited horror.

their very existence; but the people, sir, and in particular the spirited freeholders of this county, whose ruling passion is the love of liberty, have not yet forgiven the attack on their rights. So dangerous a precedent of usurped power, which may in future times be cited and adopted in practice by a despotic minister of the crown, ought to be expunged from the Journals of this House.

I have heard and read much of precedents to justify the proceedings of the last House of Commons. I own, fir, I value very little the doctrine of precedents. There is scarcely any new villainy under the sun. A precedent can never justify any action in itself wicked, a robbery for instance on the heaths of Hounslow or Bagshot; of which there are innumerable precedents. The baself actions may be justified by precedents drawn from bad times and bad men. The sole question is, Whether this power is not a direct usurpation on the rights of the people? If that is proved, I care not how long the usurpation has continued, how

[†] One precedent creates another.---They from accumulate and conflitute Law. What yesterday was fact, to-day is doctrine.

Junius. Dedication to the English nation, p. 3.

how often practifed. It is high time to put an end to it. It was the case of General Warrants. One precedent however, the most insisted upon, I must take notice of, because it is said fully to come up to the point, but, in my opinion, in almost every part it proves the contrary of what it has been brought to support. I mean the remarkable case of Mr. Walpole in 1711, a period, in which the rankest Tory principles were countenanced more than in any other of our history prior to 1760. The case, sir, has been so partially quoted, even by a § person, whose sole merit

§ Jeremiah Dyson, Esq; formerly Clerk of the

here

E 2

Junius, vol. r. p. 145.

House of Commons, Member in the present Parliament for Horsham in Sussex.

It is well worth remarking that the compiler of a certain quarto, called The case of the last election for the county of Middlesex considered, has the impudence

to recite this very vote, in the following terms, vide page 11, "Refolved, that Robert Walpole, Esq; haveing been that session of parliament expelled the House, was and is incapable of being elected a member to serve in the present parliament." There cannot be a stronger positive proof of the treachery of the compiler, nor a stronger presumptive proof that he was convinced that the vote, if truly recited, would overturn his whole argument.

here was an affumed accuracy, which he never possessed, that I shall desire it may be read to the House from the Journals.

[The Clerk read,]

** Resolved, that Robert Walpole, Esquire,
** having been, this session of Parliament, com** mitted a prisoner to the Tower of London,
** and expelled this House, for an high breach
** of trust in the execution of his office, and noto** rious corruption, suben Secretary at War, was
** and is incapable of being elected a Member
** to serve in the present Parliament."

Now, fir, I must observe, that even that House of Commons, at an æra so hostile to the liberties not only of England but of Europe, did not wenture to adjudge Mr. Walpole incapable of being elected a member to serve in that Par-liament only because he was expelled, but in the body of the Resolution itself they added another reason, which would be trisling, if the former was sufficient and adequate to the point, the high breach of trust in the execution of his office, and notorious corruption, when Secre-

After convicting Mr. Dyson of giving a false quotation from the Journals, and having explained the purpose, which that contemptible fraud was intended to answer, &c. P. 146.

cretary

eretary at War. As trustees for the nation, they affigned a public cause, which must interest every member of the community. Inthe case of Mr. Wilkes, the last House of Commons declared, "that John Wilkes, Efed quire, having been, in this Session of Par-" liament, expelled this House, was and is inse capable of being elected a Member to serve-44 in this present Parliament." The baving been expelled, whether justly or unjustly, is the only reason, which they gave to the world. I shall not yet, fire dismiss the case of Mr. Walpole. It will prove another proposition maintained by me, it will shew the injustice of the late House of Commons in seating Mr. Luttrel!, as Representative for the County of Middlesex. The fact was, that the House in Queen Anne's time having expelled Mr. Walpole, ordered immediately the issuing of a new writ. At the subsequent election Mr. Walpole was again returned. A Mr. Taylor, who had a minority of votes, petitioned, but, the election was vagated. Had the doctrine propagated by the late majority, and by the noble Lord with the blue ribband, been just and founded, Mr. Taylor ought to have been: E 3. the:

the fitting member, the House should have resolved that he ought to have been returned, and that the groffest injustice had actually been committed against him. But even that Parliament, whose memory the nation execrates, flopped short in their career of iniquity, and did not proceed to fuch enormous wickedness. It was reserved for the present æra, when shame has lost its blush. Mr. Luttrell was for some years permitted to sit here as representing the County of Middlesex, although a great majority of the freeholders abhorred and reprobated the idea of bis representing them, on every public occasion declared itand in their Petition to this House gave the record of it under their hands to all posterity.

Sir, when the strong, unanswerable reafons, on which any doctrine is founded, bear
me out, I care little about precedents. I recollect however another instance in more auspicious times, when a glorious monarch defended the constitution, which he had restrong. It directly meets the objection so
much relied upon, "that expulsion necessari"ly implies incapacity." It is the last, which
I shall desire the Clerk to read: I wish
him

him to turn to the Journals of Feb. 20, 1698.

[The Clerk read,] .

"Refolved, that Richard Woollaston, Escurie, being a Member of the House of Commons, and having since been concerned ed, and acted, as a receiver of the duties upon houses, as also upon births, marriages, and burials, contrary to the Act, made in the fifth and sixth years of his Majesty's reign, for granting several duties upon salt, beer, ale, and other liquors, be expelled this House:"

Now, fir, I defy all the subtlety of the most expert court lawyer among us, all the sophistry of the bar, to reconcile Mr. Woollaston's case with the savourite court tenet, that expulsion necessarily implies incapacity." The sact is ascertained, and indeed admitted, that a new writ did issue for the borough of Whitchurch in Hampshire, and that Mr. Woollaston was re-elected, and sat in the same Parliament. Incapacity therefore in the same Parliament does not necessarily follow expulsion.

I am ready to admit, that where a clear legal incapacity exists, all votes given to a E 4 person

person incapacitated are thrown away, if they are knowingly given to him. But, fir, I begg leave to affert, that this was not the case inthe Middlesex business. Mr. Wilken was qualified by the law of the land, and the freeholders, who perfectly understood the clear. point of law, as well as their own rights, expressly declared in the Petition presented on the 29th of April 1769, to the House, "Your "Petitioners beg leave to represent to this 4 honourable House, that the said Henry, "Lawes Luttrell had not the majority of le-44 gal votes at the faid election, nor did the majority of the freeholders, when they voted of for John Wilkes, Esquire, mean thereby to-"throw away their votes, or to wave their " right of representation; nor would they, 66 by any means, have chosen to be repre-" fented by the faid Henry Lawes Luttrell, 44 Esquire. Your Petitioners therefore appro-" hend he cannot fit as the representative of the faid County in Parliament, without a: manifest infringement of the rights and pri-"vileges of the freeholders thereof."

This House, sir, is created by the people, as the other is by the King. What right can the

or borough, you shall not have a particular person to be your representative, only because he is obnoxious to us, when he is qualified by law? Every county, city, or borough has an equal right with all other counties, cities, and boroughs, to its own choice, to its own distinct deputy in the great council of the nation. Each is free and independent, invested with precisely the same powers.

I do not mean, fir, now to enter into the argument, whether it may not be fit to give this House the power of expulsion in the first instance, for very flagrant and infamous crimes, either committed, or of which the member may be convicted, subsequent to his election. I he fending the member back to his constituents on fuch ground might be confidered as an appeal to the people. If however his constituents should differ in opinion from the majority of this House, if they should think him fit to be re-elected, he ought to be admitted, because he claims his feat under the same authority, by which every member holds the privilege of fitting and voting here, a delegation from the people, their free choice. The first appeal to the conflituents.

Rituents might in many cases appear just and reasonable. The appeal certainly lies to them, for they are the sountain of this power. We exercise their right. By their representation only we are a House of Parliament. They have the right of chusing for themselves, not a Majority here for them.

Sir, I will venture to affert, that the law of the land, by which all courts of judicature are equally bound, is overturned by the power lately exercised by a Majority of a House of Commons. The right of election by law is vested in the It is not placed in you, but in other freehold. hands, in those of the freeholders, or the constituents. Your predecessors not only robbed a particular county of its noblest privileges, but they changed the constitution of a House of Commons. The freeholders of this county and the nation abhorred the proceeding, and poured their execuations on the treacherous From us not only they, but the law authors. and constitution now expect a full reparation of the injury, by rescinding the Resolution.

This usurpation, if acquiesced under, would be attended with the most alarming consequences. If you can reject those disagreeable to a majority,

and expel whom you please, the House of Commons will be felf-created and felf-existing. You may expel till you approve, and thus in effect you nominate. The original idea of this House being the representative of the commons of the realm will be loft. The confequences of fuch a principle are dangerous in the extreme. A more forcible engine of defpotism cannot be put into the hands of a Minister. I wish gentlemen would attend to the plain confequences of fuch proceedings, and consider how they may be brought home to themselves. A member hated, or dreaded, by the minister, is accused of a crime, for instance of being the author of what he thinks a libel. I felect this case, as being the crime the least likely to be committed by any one gentleman of the present majority of this House. No proof whatever is given on oath before you, because you cannot administer an oath, except in the cases provided for by act of Parliament. You determine the fast however, and thus the Minister begins with invading the rights of Juries. any trial, he gets the paper voted a libel, and the member he wishes expelled is voted to be the author, which is a fact this House is not comcompetent to try and determine. Expu'fun means always, as it is pretended, incapacity. The member is accordingly adjudged incapable. He cannot in consequence be re-elected, and thus is totally excluded from Parliament. By fuch manoeuvres a minister may garble a House of Commons till not a fingle enemy of his own, or friend of his country, is left here, and the representation of the people in a great degree annihilated. Corruption had not lent despotisme wings to fly fo high in the reign of Charles I, or the minister of that day would have been contented with expelling Hampden, and the four other heroes, because they had immediately been adjudged incapable, and thereby incapacitated from thwarting in parliament the arbitrary measures of a wicked court. My expulsion was an eafy victory over liberty and the constitution. It went with wonderful expedition through all the forms of this House, for it was known to bea measure previously adopted in the cabinet, whose members have through the *present reign fre-

One of the first acts of the present reign was to dismiss Mr. Legge, because he had some years before retused to yield his interest in Hampshire to a Scotchman.

Frequently dated to deliberate on the invasion.

of the dearest rights of their country.

Upon all these considerations, sir, in order to quiet the minds of the people, to restore our violated constitution to its original purity, to vindicate the injured rights of this county in particular, and of all the electors of this kingdom, and that not the least trace of the wiolence and injustice of the last parliament in this important cause may disgrace our records, I humbly move, "that the Resolution of this "House of the 17th of February 1769, that

46 John

unan [Sir Simeon Stuart] recommended by Lord Bute. This was the reason publicly assigned by his Lordship. Junius, vol. 2. p. 35.

The transaction, to which Junius alludes, is related at large in a 4to pamphlet compiled from original papers, intituled, Some Account of the Character of the late Right Honourable Henry Billon Legge.

Mr. Legge received a verbal message from Lord Bute by Mr. Martin [Samuel Martin, E/q;] Dec. 12, 4759, the purport of which was, as it stands upon Mr. Legge's paper, "that he should bid adieu to the "County of Southampton at the general election, and "affist as far as lay in his power, the Prince of Wales's "[now George the Third's] nomination of two mem-thers' Page 16.

Before the talons were grown, such things were remarked, that every man of reflection dreaded the moment of maturity.

Votes of March 29, 1775.

Ordered, That the order of the day for the fecond reading of the Bill to incapacitate William Abraham, James Anderson junior, &c. &c. from voting at elections for Members to serve in Parliament; and for the preventing Bribery and Corruption in the election of Members to serve in Parliament, for the Borough of Hindon, in the county of Wilts, be now read.

Sir Cecil Wray, Member for East Retford, gave notice in a very spirited manner, that he would move the Committee to insert likewise in the Bill the names of the Bribers, the Candidates themselves. The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, observed, that the punishment ought to extend farther as to all the parties, and mentioned a great

Digitized by Google

great defect in the Statute Law against Bribery and Corruption; that at present a person convicted of bribery and corruption was indeed incapacitated from voting for a Member of Parliament, but not from becoming himself a part of the legislative body, and actually fitting in that House. He added, that there was at that time a +gentleman in a high and lucrative office under the Crown, bestowed upon him fince he was personally convicted of bribery and corruption, who was admitted and aoknowledged to be a Member of the House of Commons in this very Parliament. He appealed to the Minister himself, who was prefent, for the truth of the affertion; but no anfwer was given. He further remarked, that the mode proposed by some gentlemen, of prosecuting the electors of Hindon in a court of law, instead of punishing them by the present Bill, would let half the guilty escape, even supposing a lawyer could be found publicspirited enough to undertake so many and expenfive



[†] The person alluded to is supposed to be Bamber Gascoyne, Esq.; Member for Truro in Cornwall, now a Lord of Trade, who was prosecuted to conviction for bribery at a former election for Malden in Essex.

pensive causes, under the known circumstance of the poverty of the parties, and their inability to pay the penalties; for, as the law now stands, any person guilty of bribery and corruption, who informs against another equally guilty, saves himself, and acquires a personal indemnity; that this would certainly be the case of the wenal wretches at Hindon, and consequently of them might estape the punishment, which all the 188 so highly merited, whereas the mode of a Bill in Parliament dealtout equal and exemplary punishment to all the guilty.

Votes of October 26, 1775.

Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Majesty, to return His Majesty the thanks of this House, for His most gracious Speech from the Throne.

To assure His Majesty, that we have long lamented the condition of our unhappy sellow-subjects in America, seduced from their allegiance by the grossest misrepresentations, and the most wicked and insidious pretences, &c. to declare, that His Majesty's faithful Commons took a sincere part in His Majesty's paternal

ternal defire, rather to reclaim, than to subdue, the most refractory of His Colonies, &c.:
the rebellious war now levied is become more,
general, &c. that we thankfully acknowledge
the gracious considerations, which induced His
Majesty to send a part of His Electoral treeps
to the garrisons of Gibraltar and Port Mahon,
&c. and that we are bound in duty to return.
His Majesty our particular thanks, for pointing out to us, from the Throne, the constitutional resource of our well-modelled and
well-regulated national Militia, &c. and to
assure His Majesty, that we hear with the
highest satisfaction the affectionate declaration
of the Father of his People, &c, &c, &c.

The Lord Mayor, Mr. Wilkes, faid,

Mr. Speaker,

I entirely agree with the *honourable gentleman, who seconded the Motion for an Address to His Majesty, that every man ought now to speak out, and in a moment so important as the present to the whole empire, I think it Vol. I.

Governor Lyttelton, Member for Bewdley, new Lord Westcote, a Lord of the Treasury.

ill becomes the dignity and duty of Parliament to lose idelf in such a sulforme, adulatory Addrefs to the throne as that now proposed. We ought rather, fin, to approach our Sovereign. with found and wholstome advice, and even with remonstrances against the conduct of his ministers, who have precipitated the mation into an unjuft, ruinous, folmione and munderous war. I call the war with our brethren in America an unjust, filonious wan, because the primary cause and confessed origin of it is, to attempt to take their money from them without their confent, contrary to the common rights of all mankind, and those great fundamental principles of the English constitutions for which Hampien bled. I affert, fir, that it is in consequence a murderous war, because it is an effort to deprive men of their lives for standing up in the just cause of the desence of their property, and their clear rights. It becomes no less a murderous war with respect to many of our fellow subjects of this island: for every man, either of the navy or army. who has been fent by government to America. and fallen a victim in this unnatural and unjust contest, bas, in my opinion, been murderel

alered by administration, and his blood lies at their door. Such a war, I fear, fir, will draw down the vengeance of heaven upon this devoted kingdom.

I think this war, fir, fatal and rainous to our country. It absolutely annihilates the only great source of our wealth, which we enjoyed *unrivalled by other nations, and deprives us of the fruits of the laborious industry of near

F 2 three

The Speech of the LORD MAYOR, Mr. Wilkei, from the Hustings at Guidhall, to the COMMON HALL, April 5, 1775.

Gentlemen of the Livery,

It would ill become me on this important day to take up much of your time. I very readily complied with the request of several respectable Citizens to call this Common Hall, from every feeling of justice and humanity to our persecuted brethren in America, and the fatal consequences I foresee of the violent proceedings now carrying on, which must so deeply affect the prosperity, not only of this, the first commercial city in the world, but likewise the whole kingdom. I will only, gentlemen, beg leave to read to you from your own records on this subject, the words of a petition from this Metropolis to both Houses of Parliament, long before the present unhappy contest between the Mother Country and her American Colonies began, so long ago as the year 1739.

The Citizens of London are too deeply interested in whatever affects the trade of this nation not to ex-

rel

three millions of subjects, which centred here. That commerce has already taken its flight, and our American merchants are now deploring the consequences of a wretched policy, which has been pursued to their destruction. It is, fir, no less ruinous with regard to the enormous expence of the sleets and armies necessary for this nesarious undertaking, and of consequence the tenormous supplies to be raised,

of press the utmost anxiety for the welfare of that only si source of our riches. The petitioners apprehend, that

fration.

⁴⁶ the trade from these His Majesty's kingdoms to His

[&]quot;American Colonies, is of the utmost importance, and almost the only profitable trade this nation now enjoys

⁴ unrivalled by others."

If, Gentlemen, the trade to our American Co'onies near forty years ago was, according to the declared opinion of this Metropolis, of such importance, the amazing entries for several late years made in the books of the Custom-house, which are a most daily before your eyes, will best demonstrate to what an immense magnitude it is since grown, and that such an object calls for our most earnest, unwearied attention and regard. Whatever your determinations may be, you may be assured of the hearty concurrence of your Chief Magistrate.

[†] In the Speech from the Throne on the same day Hie M jefty declared, "among the many unavoidable ill con"fequences of this Rebellion, none affects me more sensibly
"than the extraordinary burthen which it must create to
"my faithful subjects." This passage is a clear demon-

fo that we are walting our present wealth, while we are destroying the sources of all we might have in future. An humane mind must contemplate with agony the dreadful calamities and convulsions, which are the consequence of every civil war, and especially a civil war of this magnitude and extent.

I speak, sir, as a firm friend to England and America, but still more to universal liberty, and the rights of all mankind. I trust no past of the subjects of this vast empire will ever submit to be slaves. I am sure the Americans are too high spirited to brook the idea. Your whole power, and that of your allies, if you had any, even of all the German troops, of all

F 3 the.

firation, that the Speech from the Throne must be considered as the Speech of the Minister, not of the Sovereign. The Minister, who controlls the finances of a state, would naturally dwell on the great expense of a rebellious war, but a good and humane King, who loved his people, would be more fenfibly offected by the idea of the cruel essuion of the blood, and the loss of the lives, of many thousands of his sobjects.

En 1744, Menin est attaqué par les François.
On dit à Louis XV, qu'en brusquant une attaque qui coûtera quelques hommes, on sera quatre jours platôt dans la ville. "Eh bien," dit le Roi, "prenonsla quatre jours plus tard; j'aime mieux perdre quatre
jours devant une place, qu'un seul de mes sujets."

Journal de Louis XV.

the ruffians from the north, whom you can hire. cannot effect to wicked a purpose. The conduct of the present administration has already wrested the sceptre of America out of the hands of our Sovereign, and he has now scarcely even a postmaster left in that whole northern continent. More than half the empire is already loft, and almost all the rest in consusion and anarchy. The ministry have brought our Sovereign into a more difgraceful fituation than any crowned head now living. He alone has already loft, by their fatal counfels, more termitory than the three great united powers of Ruffia, Austria, and Prussia have together by a wicked confederacy robbed Poland of, and by equal acts of violence and injustice from administration.

England was never engaged in a contest of such importance to our most valuable concerns and possessions. We are fighting for the subjection, the unconditional submission, of a country infinitely more extended than our own, of which every day increases the wealth, the natural strength, the population. Should we not succeed, it will be a loss never enough to be deploted, a bosom friendship foured to hate and sesentment. We shall be

con-

confidered as their most implacable enemies, an eternal feparation will follow, and the grandeur of the British empire pass away.. Success, final facces, seems to me not equivocal, not macertain, but impossible. However we may differ among ourselves, they are perfectly united. On this fide the Atlantic party-rage unhappily divides us, but one soul animates the wast morthern continent of America, the general congrass and each provincial assembly. An appeal has been made to the sword, and at the close of the last campaign what have we conquered? Bunker's Hill only, and with the loss of 1200 men. Are we to pay as dearly for the rest of America? The idea of the conquest of that immense continent is as romantic as unjust.

The honourable *gentleman, who moved the Address, says, "the Americans have been "treated with lenity." Will facts justify the affertion? Was your Boston fort Bill a measure of lenity? Was your fishery Bill a measure of lenity? Was your Bill for taking away the charter of the Massachuset's Bay a measure of lenity, or even justice? I

^{*} John Dyke Acland, Efg; Member for Callington in Cornwall.

omit your many other groß provocations and infults, by which the brave Americans have been driven into their prefent flate. He afferts that they avow a disposition to be independent. On the contrary, fir, all the declarations both of the late and the present congress, uniformly tend to this one object, of being put on the same footing the Americans were in the year 1763. This has been their only demand. from which they have never varied. Their daily prayers and petitions are for liberty, peace, and lafety. I use the words of the Congress the last year. They justly expect to be put onan equal footing with the other subjects of the empire, and are willing to come into any fair agreement with you in commercial concerns. If you confine all our trade to yourselves. fay they; if you make a monopoly of our commerce; if you that all the other ports of the world against us, do not tax us likewise. If you tax us, then give us a free trade, such as you enjoy yourselves. Let us have equal advantages of commerce, all other ports open tous, then we can, and will, chearfully, voluntasily pay taxes. You will have a free will offering given with pleasure, not grudgingly.

It must give, sir, every man who loves this country, the deepest concern at the naming in the Address foreign troops, Hanoverians and Hessians, who are now called to interfere in our domestic quarrels, not to dwell this day on the illegality of the measure, the danger and disgrace attending foreign mercenaries. The militia, indeed, are we are told to be now employed, and that noble institution is at present complimented by ministers, but we know they hate the very name of a militia, and that measure is adopted only because the embodying of those forces enables administration to butcher more of our sellow-subjects in America.

Sir, I disapprove not only the evil spirit of the whole Address, but likewise the wretched adulation of almost every part of it. My wish and hope therefore is, that it will be rejected by the House, and that another dutiful, yet decent, and manly, Address will be presented to the King, praying his Majesty to sheathe the sword, prevent the farther effusion of the blood of our fellow-subjects, adopt some mode of negociation with the general congress in compliance with their repeated petitions, and

and thereby sessore peace and harmony to this distracted empire.

VOTES of November 27, 1775.

A Motion was, and the Question being proproposed, "That an humble Address be "presented to his Majesty, humbly request-

" ing, that his Majesty would be graciously

" pleased to impart to this House, who

" were the original authors and advisers to

"his Majesty of the following measures,

" before they were proposed in Parliament-

" for taxing America, without the consent

" of its Assemblies, for the purpose of a

" Revenue, &c. &c."

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

The Address to his Majesty, which * the honourable gentleman has moved this day, is so essentially different from all other late addresses to the throne, that I own it meets with my hearty concurrence. I think it, sir, of the atmost consequence to know the original authors and advisers of this unjust, pernicious, and cal-

Mr. Alderman Oliver, Member for London.

calamitous war, which has already deluged with blood a part of America, and spread horror and devastation through that whole northern continent. When so many provinces of the empire are already lost, and the rest actually engaged in a cruel, civil war, we ought not to fit down in a criminal supineness. It becomes our duty, as the grand inquest of the nation, to find out and punish the delinquents, by whose static counsels such evils have been brought upon this convulted, and almost ruined state. We owe it to the people at large, and several of us have it in express charge from our constituents.

We are, I fear, hir, on the eve of an eternal, political separation from the western world, unless a very speedy reconciliation should take place. If the present motion happily meets with success, if am sure it will do more towards a sincere, lasting, and hearty union with America, than all the captious and fallacious proposals of administration. The Americans will then believe we indeed desire a reconciliation with them, and they will at length begin to have confidence in our counsels, when they see the vengeance of Parliament fall on the authors of our common calamities.

famities. The principles of violence and injustice, which have hitherto prevailed, they will see, if the House is really in earnest to treat, yield to equity and moderation; a negociation on fair, equal, and just terms, may ensue, and a general tranquility be re-established in an empire, which is now shaken to its very foundations.

I really think, fir, this is almost the only method now left of extricating ourselves with honour and dignity from our prefent alarming difficulties. You have voted fleets and armies, and your forces figure greatly in the papers of the Secretary at War, and in the expensive estimates on your table. But the minister knows very well they are not equal to the mad project of fubjugating the vast continent of America, nor do I believe the whole ftrength of this kingdom adequate to such an attempt. After a very bloody campaign you have conquered only one hill of less than a mile's circumference, for you were fuffered to land as friends in the only sea-port town of any confequence which you possess. Would the noble

[•] Lord George Sackwille Germain, one of His Majefty's Principal Secretaries of State. [for America]
From

ble lord, whom his Majesty has lately raised to one of the highest civil offices, if he were sent on a military service, would he venture, even at the head of the whole British cavalry, to advance ten miles into the country? He would not, I am persuaded, be so rash, nor do I think his spirit quite daring enough to make the attempt. And is any minister weak enough to statter himself with the conquest of all North

From the London Gasette of April 26, 1760.

This Court, [a General Court-Martial] upon the consideration of the whole matter before them, is of opinion, That Lord George Sackwille is guilty of having disobeyed the orders of Prince Ferdinand of Brunswick, whom he was by his Commission and Instructions directed to obey, as Commander in Chief, according to the rules of war; and it is the further opinion of this Court, that the said Lord George Sackwille is, and he is hereby adjudged, unfit to serve His Majesy in any MILITARY capacity whatever.

From the London Gazette, of Nov. 11, 1775.

His Majesty having pleased to appoint the Right Honourable Lord George Sack-ville Germain to be one of His Majesty's Principal Secretaries of State, his Lordship was this day, by His Majesty's command, sworn one of His Majesty's Principal Secretaries of State accordingly.

North America? The Americans will dispute every inch of territory with you, every narrow pass, every strong deside, every Thermoppia, every Bunker's Hill. A train of
most unfortunate events will probably ensue,
and the power of recruiting, peshaps substiting, your weakened forces, at such a distance,
be lost. After an unavailing strugglo of a very
sew years, when the rained merchant and manusaturer besiege your doors, you will perhaps
think of naming ambassadors to the general
congress, instead of the wild and expensive job
and farce now in contemplation, of thirty commissioners, with a salary of sour thousand pounds
each, to evy Peace, when there is no Peace.

Yet, fir, I think Peace absolutely necessary between Great Britain and America, and therefore I approve the present motion, as holding out the olive branch. The Americans are rapidly encreasing in population, and in the knowledge of all the useful arts of life. Alas sure, they are not ignorant even in the fashionable art of murdering our own species. The late worthy governor of Pensylvania * declared

at

Richard Penn, Esq. was examined before the House of Lords, Nov. 10, 1775.

at the bar of the other Houle, that that province now grew more corn than was sufficient for the supply of its inhabitants; that they exported confiderably every year's that they perfectly underflood the art of making ounpowder, and had effected it; that they had established several works to produce salepetre; that they had the materials and means in great plenty of calling from cannon; that the art of eafting both brafs and iron cannon, as well as of fabricating finall arms, had been casried to great perfection; and that they were expert in thip building beyond the Europeans. He declared likewise, that fingle province had actually enrolled 20,000 men, in arms, embobodied, but not in pay, and had 4000 minute men ready on the first notice of any danger. The authentic accounts of the preparations for the forming, waining, and distiplining troops in the Mallichafots Bay and in Virginia are equally formidable, nor are they inconfiderable in the other united provinces. Every idea of force therefore or our fide must appear infatuation.

All wife legislature, fir, have calculated the firength of a wation from the number of ics inhabitance; the laborious, fireng, and active.

the production of the

The

The population in most parts of America isi doubled in the course of nineteen or twenty. years, while that of this island is known rather to have decreased fince the year 1602. The emigrations of late from the three kingdoms have been amazing and alarming. Our own people have fled in multitudes from a, government, under which they starved. It appears from the nicest calculations, that many more of our fellow-subjects have voluntarily left this kingdom for America-never to returnthan I believe administration has hitherto sent in their pay both of fleets and armies-never to return-in any confiderable proportion I mean of the force fent. The Americans, fir, are a pious and religious people. With much ardour and success they follow the first great command of Heaven, Be fruitful, and multiply. While they are fervent in these devout exercifes, while the men continue enterprifing and healthy, the women kind and prolific, all your attempts to subdue them by force will be zidiculous and unavailing, will be regarded by them with fcorn and abhorrence. They are daily strengthening, and if you lose the prefent moment of reconciliation, to which this motion

motion tends, you lose all. America may now be reclaimed or regained, but cannot be. subdued.

Gentlemen, fir, do not feem to have confidered the aftonishing disadvantages, under which we engage in this contest against the combined powers of America, not only from the distance and natural strength of the country, but the peculiar and fortunate circumstances of a young, rising empire. The congress, sir, have not the monfrous load of a debt of above one hundred and forty millions, like our Parliament, to struggle with, the very interest of which would swallow up all their taxes; nor a numerous and hungry band of useless placemen and pensioners to provide for: nor has luxury yet enervated their minds or bodies, Every shilling which they raise, will go to the man who fights the battles of his conntry. They set out like a young heir with a noble landed eftate, unincumbered with enor-. mous family debts, while we appear the poor, old, seeble, exhausted, and ruined parent; but exhausted and ruined by our own wickednels, prodigality, and profligacy.

Vol. I.

G

Sir,

'Sir. I daily hear the Americans, who glow. with a divine neal for liberty in all its branches, misrepresented in this House, and the oftenfible minister is diligent in propagating the most unjust calumnies against them. The noble lord with the blue ribband told us, the liberty of the prefs was loft throughout Amo-The noble ford deceives us in this, se in many other things. From experience we know that his intelligence can never be relied upon. The liberty of the prefs, the bulwark of all our liberties, is lost only in Boston, for his lordfhip's ministerial troops govern there only. The press is free at Water-Town. but feven miles distant from Boston, at Philadelphia, Newport, Williamsburgh, and in the rest of North America. I will give the House the demonstration. General Gage's foolish and contemptible proclamation against Samuel Adams and John Hancock, two worthy gentlemen, and, I dare to add, tave patriots, even that proclamation, declaring them rebels and traitors, while the generals Washington, Putnam and Lee, with all the navak commanders in arms, were unnoticed by him, appears reprinted in all the American papers. His let-· ·ters

ters likewife to Governor Turnbull and others, in which he most heroically apologized for his inert conduct, as necessary for the protection of the army-the protection of an army!-and of an army, which we were raught to believe would look all opposition into subjection, awe the factious, and give fecurity to the well affected, these letters too were all faithfully copied. I believe all the curious, futile orders he has issued, all his unmeaning declarations and proclamations, will be found as exact in the Pen-Sylvania, Water-Town, and other American news-papers, as in the Gazette, published by his authority at Boston, which in other respects is as partial and falle as that of the American Secretary published by authority in this capital.

The *honourable gentleman, fir, who spoke last, says, the "present address is trifling, for "we already know the author and adviser of all "the late measures against America; that the "noble lord with the blue ribband will avow them, and has done it." I wish to hear such a declaration. Will the noble lord avow him-

Anthony Storer, Efq; Member for Carlifle.

felf the adviser of only one of the late flagi-. tious measures, that of establishing Popery and despotic power in Canada? The father of that: monffrous birth I thought had prudently bi-: therto chosen to remain concealed. wife tells us, "the motion now before us is " coupled with nothing, and leads to nothing." I will tell him what it ought to lead to, what it ought to be coupled with. I mean an impeachment, fir. which I trust will follow, as the next motion of the honourable gentleman, who spoke first in this debate. Whoever did advise the measures lately pursued, which have lost half our empire, I consider as a criminal of fo deep a dye, that his head would be a just sacrifice to the honour of England and the peace of America. The word impeachment, I hope, will always strike terror to the ear and heart of a wicked and arbitrary minister, and that the noblest and most important prerogative of this free people, secured to us by our great deliverer, king William III, in the "Act for the further " limitation of the crown, and better fecur-" ing the rights and liberties of the subject," will shortly have its full effect, " that no par-44 don under the great seal of England be " pleadse pleadable to an impeaclment by the Commons in Parliament."

Votes of March 21, 1776.

A Motion was made, and the Question was put, "That leave be given to bring in a "Bill, for a just and equal Representation "of the People of England in Parliament."

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

All wife governments, and well-regulated states, have been particularly careful to mark and correct the various abuses, which a confiderable length of time almost necessarily creates. Among these, one of the most stricking and important in our country is, the present unfair and inadequate state of the representation of the people of England in Parliament. It is now become so partial and unequal from the lapse of time, that I believe almost every gentleman in the House will agree with me in the necessity of its being taken into our most serious consideration, and of our endeavouring to find a remedy for this great and growing evil.

G 3

I with

Digitized by Google

I with, fir, my flender abilities were equal to a thorough investigation of ses momentous business. Very diligent and well-meant endeavours have not been wanting to trace it from the first origin. The most natural and perfect idea of a free government is, in my mind, that of the people themselves affembling to determine by what laws they chuse to be governed, and to establish the regulations they think necessary for the protection of their property and liberty against all violence and fraud. Every member of fuch a community would submit with alacrity to the observance of what had been enacted by himself, and affilt with fpirit in giving efficacy and vigour to laws and ordinances, which derived all their authority from his own approbation and concurrence. In small inconsiderable states, this mode of gistation has been happily followed, both are ancient and modern times. The extent Ad populoulnels of a great empire feems fearcely to admit it without confusion or tumult i and therefore our ancestors, more wise in this than the ancient Romans, adopted the representation of the many by a few, as answering more fully the true ends of government. Rome

was emilared from inattention to this very circomstance, and by one other fatal act, which ought to be a firme warning to the people, even against their own representatives, the leaving power too long in the hands of the fame persons, by which the armies of the republic became the armies of Sylla, Pompey, and Cæfar. When all the burghers of Italy obtained the freedom of Rome, and voted in public assemblies, their multitudes rendered the diffinction of the citizen of Rome and the alien impossible. Their assemblies and deliberations became diforderly and turnultuous. Unprincipled and ambitious men found out the fecret of turning them to the ruin of the Roman liberty and common-wealth. Among this evil is avoided by representation, and t the justice of the principle is preserved. every Englishman is supposed to be present in Parliament, either in person, or by a deputy 'cholen by himself, and therefore the resolution of Parliament is taken to be the resolution of every individual, and to give to the public the consent and approbation of every free agent of the community.

Activities of the Control of the Con

According to the first formation of this excellent constitution, so long and so justly our
greatest boast and best inheritance, we find
that the people thus took eare no laws should
be enacted, no takes levied, but by their confent, expressed by their representatives in the
great council of the nation. The mode of representation in antient times being tolerably
adequate and proportionate, the sense of the
people was known by that of Pasliament,
their share of power in the legislature being preferved, and sounded in equal justice. At present
it is become insufficient, partial, and unjust.

From so pleasing a view as that of the equab power, which our ancestors had, with great wisdom and care, modelled for the commons of this realm, the present scene gives us not very venerable suins of that majestic and beautiful fabric, the English constitution. As the whole seems in disorder and consustion, all the former union and harmony of the parts are lost or destroyed. It appears, fir, from the write remaining in the King's remembrancer's office in the exchequer, that no less than 22 towns sent members to the Parliament in the 23d, 25th, and 25th, of Edward I. which have long

long ceased to be represented. The names of some of them are scarcely known to us, such as those of Canebrig and Bamburg in Northumberland, Pershore and Brem in Worcestershire, Jarvall and Tythull in Yorkshire. What a happy fate, fir, has attended the boroughs of Gatton and Old Sarum, of which, although ipfa perière ruina, the names are familiar to us, the clerk regularly calls them over, and four respectable gentlemen reprefent their departed greatness, as the knights at a coronation represent Aquitaine and Normandy? The little town of Banbury, petite . ville, grand renom, as Rabelais fays of Chinon, has, I believe, only 17 electors, yet gives us. in its representative, what is of the utmost importance to the majority here, a first Lord of the treasury, and a Chancellor of the exche--quer. Its influence and weight on a division. -I have often feen overpower the united force of the members for London, Bristol, and several of the most populous counties. East-Grinstead too, I think, has only about 30 electors, yet gives a feat among us to that - + brave, heroic lord at the head of a great civil

[·] Lord North is Member for Banbury.

⁺ Lord George Germain is Member for East Grinstend.

department, now very military, who has fully determined + to conquer America-but not in Grman. It is not, fir, my purpose to weary the patience of the Houle by the refearches of an antiquarian into the ancient state of our representation, and its variations at different periods. I shall only remark shortly on what passed in the reign of Henry VI, and some of ' his successors. In that reign, Sir John Fortescue, his chancellor, observed that the House of Commons confished of more than 200 chofen men; various alterations were made by fueceeding Kings till James II. No change has happened fince that period. Great abuses, it must be owned, contrary to the primary ideas of the English constitution, were committed by our former princes, in giving the right of representation to several paltry boroughs, because the places were poor, and dopendent on them, or on a favourite surgrown per. The land-marks of the conflitation have often been removed. The marked partiality for Cornwall, which fingle county

[†] This seems to allude to the celebrated Expression of Mr. Pitt in the House of Commons, that he had conquered America in Germany.

still fends, within one, as many members as the whole kingdom of Scotland, it fliking. It arole from yielding to the crown in the and lands a larger hereditary revenue than any other English county, as well as from the ducky being in the crown, and giving an amazing command and influence. By fuch abules of our princes the constitution was wounded in its most vital part. Henry VIII, restored two members, Edward VI. twenty, Queen Mary four, Queen Elizabeth twelve, James I. fixteen, Charles I. eighteen, in all feventy-two. The alterations by creation in the same period were more considerable, for Henry VIII. created thirty-three, Edward VI. twenty-eight, Queen Mary seventeen, Queen Llizabeth forty-eight, James I. eleven; in all 137. Charles I. made no new creation of this kind. Charles II. added two for the county, and two for the city of Durham, and two for Newark on Trent. This House is at this hour composed of the same representation it was at his demise, notwithstanding the many and important changes, which have fince happened. It becomes us therefore to enquire, whether the sense of Parliament can be now,

on

on folid grounds, from the present representation faid to be the sense of the nation, as in the time of our forefathers. I am fatisfied. fir, the fentiments of the people cannot be iustly known at this time from the resolutions of a Parliament, composed as the present is. even though no undue influence was practifed after the return of the members to the House, even supposing for a moment the influence of all the baneful arts of corruption to be . suspended, which, for a moment, I believe, they have not been, under the present profligate administration. Let us examine, fir, with exactness and candour, if the + representation is fair and perfect, let us consider of what the efficient parts of this House are composed, and what proportion

[†] The representation must be complete. No state, a part of which only is represented in the legislature that governs it, is felf governed. Had Scotland no representatives in the Parliament of Britam, it would not be free; nor would it be proper to call Britams free, though England, its other part, were adequately represented. The like is true, in general, of every country subject to a legislature in which some of its parts, or some classes of men in it, are represented, and others not.

Dr. Price's Additional Observations on Civil Liberty, page 6.

proportion they bear on the large scale, to the body of the people of England, who are supposed to be represented.

The fouthern part of this island, to which I now confine my ideas, confirs of about five ' millions of people, according to the most received calculation. I will state by what numbers the majority of this House is elected, and I suppose the largest number present of any recorded in our journals, which was in the famous year 1741. In that year the athree largest divisions appear on our journals. The first is that of the 21st of January, when the numbers were 253 to 250; the second on the 28th of the same month, 235 to 235; the third on the 0th of March, 244 to 242. In these divisions the members for Scotland are included; but I will state my calculations only for England, because it gives the argument more force. The division therefore, 1 adopt, is that of January 21. The number of members present on that day, were 503. Let me however suppose the number of 254 to be the majority of members, who will ever be able to attend in their places. . I state it high, from the accidents of fickness, service in foreign parts,

parts, travelling and necessary avocations. From the majority of electors only in the boroughs, which return members to this House, it has been demonstrated that this number of 254 members is cleded by no more than 5723 perfors, generally the inhabitants of Cornille, and . other very infignificant boroughs, perhaps by not the most respectable part of the community. Is our Sovereign then to learn the sense of his whole people from these sew persons? Are these the men to give laws to this vaft empire, and to tax this wealthy nation? I do not mention all the tedious calculations, because gentlemen may find them at length in the works of the incomparable Dr. Price, in Postlethwaite, and in Burgh's Political disquisitions. Figures afford the clearest demonstration, incapable of cavil or fophistry. Since Burgh's calculations only one alteration has happened. I allude to the borough of Shoreham in Suffex. By the act of 1771, all the freeholders of forty shillings per annum in the neighbouring rape or hundred of Bramber are admitted to vote for that borough; but many of the old electors . were disfranchised. It appears likewise, that 56 of our: members are elected by only 364. persons. C 30 .

persons. Lord chanceller Talbot supposed that the majority of this House was decided by 50,0000 persons, and he enclaimed against the injustice of that idea. More accurate calculations than his Lordship's, and the unerring rules of political arithmetic, have shown the injustice to be wastly beyond what his Lordship even suspected.

When we consider, fir, that the most important powers of this house, the levying taxes on, and enacting laws for, five millions of persons, is thus usurped and unconstitutionally exercised by the small number I have mentioned, it becomes our duty to restore to the people their clear rights, their original share in the legislature. The ancient representation of this kingdom we find was founded by our ancestors in justice, wisdom, and equality. The present state of it would be continued by us in folly, obstinacy, and injustice.

This evil has been complained of by fome of the wifest patriots our country has produced. I shall beg leave to give that close reasoner; Mr. Locke's ideas in his own words. He says, in the treatise on civil government, "Things not always changing "equally.

equally, and private interest often keeping up er customs and privileges, when the reasons of them are ceased, it often comes to pass, that in governments, where part of the legislative so confifts of representatives chosen by the peoes ple, that in tract of time this representation 46 becomes very unequal and disproportionate to 44 the reasons it was at first established upon. "To what gross absurdities, the following of 44 a custom, when reason has left it, may lead, " we may be satisfied, when we see the bare 44 name of a town, of which there remains not 40 so much as the ruins, where scarce so much 46 housing as a sheep-cote, or more inhabitants " than a shepherd is to be found, sends as many 46 representatives to the grand affembly of law-46 makers, as a whole county, numerous in peo-" ple, and powerful in riches. This strangers 46 stand amazed at, and every one must confess " needs a remedy." After so great an authority as that of Mr. Locke, I shall not be treated on this occasion as a mere visionary. and the propriety of the motion I shall have the honour of submitting to the house, will scarcely be disputed. Even the members for fuch places as Old Sarum, and Gatton, who I may

Lmay venture to fay at present flunt nominis umbræ, will, I am persuaded, have too much candour to complain of the right of their few constituents, if indeed they have constituents, if they are not self-created, self-elected, selfexistent, of this pretended right being transferred to the county, while the rich and populous manufacturing towns of Birmingham. Manchester, Leeds, Sheffield, and others. may have at least an equitable share in the formation of those laws by which they are governed. My idea, sir, in this case, as to the wretched and depopulated towns and boroughs in general, I freely own, is amputation. with Horace, Inutiles ramos amputans, feliciores inserit.

This is not, fir, the first attempt of the kind to correct, although in an inconsiderable degree, this growing evil. Proceedings of a similar nature were had among us above a century past. The clerk will read from our journals what passed on the 26th of March, 1668, on a bill to enable the county palatine of Durham to send two knights for the county, and two citizens for the city of Durham. [The clerk reads.] In a book of authority, Anchirell Vol. I. Grey's

Grev's debates, we have a more particular account of what palled in the houle on that occasson. He says, that "Sir Thomas Meres-" moved, that the shires may havean increase " of knights, and that some of the small bo-44 roughs, where there are but few electors, may " be taken away, and a bill for that purpose." We find afterwards, "on a division, the bill was strejected, 65 to 50." This division, however, alludes only to the bill then before the house, respecting the county and city of Durham. I desire to add the few remarkable words of Sir Thomas Strickland in this debate, because I have not feen them quoted on the late important Ansrican questions. "The county palatine of Durham was never taxed in Parliament by ancient privilege before King James's time, and fo needed no representatives, but now being taxed, it is but reasonable they should have." Such sentiments. fir, were promulgated in this house even so long ago as the reign of Charles II.

I am aware, fir, that the power, de jure, tof the legislature to disfranchise a number of boroughs,

[†] Junius in a letter to Mr. Wilkes dated Sept. 7, 2771, and printed at the end of his fecond volume fays, "As

roughs, upon the general grounds of improving the constitution, has been doubted; and gentlemen will ask, whether a power is lodged in the representative to destroy his immediate

H 2

con .

As to cutting away the roften boroughs, I am as much offended as any man at feeling fo many of them under the direct influence of the crown, or at the disposal of private persons. Yet, I own, I "have both doubts and apprehentions, in regard to the remedy you propose: I shall be charged perhaps with an unufual want of political intrepidity, when 46 I honeffly confess to you, that I am startled at the idea " of fo extensive an amputation .-- In the first place, "I question the power, de jure, of the legislature to " disfranchife a number of boroughs, upon the geneas ral ground of improving the constitution. There cannot be a doctrine more fatal to the liberty and se property we are contending for, than that, which " confounds the idea of a supreme and arbitrary lesignature. I need not point out to you the fatal or purpofes, to which it has been, and may be applied. If we are fincere in the political creed we profets, te there are many things, which we ought to affirm, er cannot be done by King, Lords and Commons. Among these I reckon the disfranchising of boroughs with a general view of improvement. I const fider it as equivalent to robbing the parties concern-"ed of their freehold, of their birth-right. I fay "that, although this birth-right may be forfeited, or " the exercise of it suspended in particular cases, it " cannot be taken away, by a general law, for any " real or pretended purpole of improving the constitu-" tion.

conflituent? Such a question is best answered by another. How originated the right, and upon what ground was it at first granted? Old Sarum and Gatton, for instance, were populous towns,

and

"tion. Supposing the attempt made, I am persuaded " you cannot mean that either King, or Lords should "take an active part in it. A bill, which only "touches the representation of the people, must ori-" ginate in the house of commons. In the formation " and mode of passing it, the exclusive right of the " commons must be afferted as scrupulously, as in the " case of a money-bill. Now, fir, I should be glad "to know by what kind of reasoning it can be proved, "that there is a power vested in the representative to " destroy his immediate constituent. From whence " could he possibly derive it? A courtier, I know " will be ready to maintain the affirmative. The doc-" trine fuits him exactly, because it gives an anlimit-" ed operation to the influence of the crown. But we. " Mr. Wilkes, ought to hold a different language. It "is no answer to me to say, that the bill, when it er passes the house of commons, is the act of the ma-" jority, and not the representatives of the particular " boroughs concerned. If the majority can disfranchife "ten boroughs, why not twenty, why not the whole kingdom? Why should not they make their own se feats in parliament for life?--- When the septennial " act peffed, the legislature did what, apparently and " palpably, they had no right to do; but they did " more than people in general were aware of: they, " in effect, disfranchifed the whole kingdom for four w years. " For and therefore the right of representation was first given them. They are now desolate, and of consequence ought not to retain a privilege, which they acquired only by their extent and populousness. We ought in every thing, as far as we can, to make the theory and practice of the constitution coincide. The suppreme legislative body of a state must surely have this power inherent in itself. It was do fasto lately exercised to its sfull extent by parlia-

H :

ment

" For argument's fake, I will now suppose, that the " expediency of the measure, and the power of par-" liament are unquestionable. Still you will find an " infurmountable difficulty in the execution. " all your instruments of amputation are prepared, "when the unhappy patient lies bound at your feet, " without the possibility of resistance, by what infal-" lible rule will you direct the operation ?--- When " you propose to cut away the rotten parts, can you " tell us what parts are perfectly found? --- Are there " any certain limits in fact, or theory, to inform you " at what point you must stop, at what point the mor-" tification ends. To a man so capable of observa-"tion and reflection as you are, it is unnecessary to " fav all that might be faid on the subject. Besides "that I approve highly of Lord Chatham's idea of in-" fusing a portion of new health into the constitution to " enable it to bear its infirmities, (a brilliant expres-" fion, and full of intrinsic wisdom) other reasons " concur in perfuading me to adopt it. I have no " objection, &c."

The

ment in the case of Shoreham with universal approbation, for near a hundred corrupt voters were disfranchised, and about twice that number of freeholders admitted from the county of Sussex.

It will be objected, I foresee, that a time of persect calm and peace throughout this vast empire, is the most proper to propose internal regulations of this importance; and that while intestine discord rages in the whole northern continent of America, our attention ought to be fixed upon that most alarming object, and all our efforts employed to extinguish the devouring slame of a civil war. In my opinion,

JUNIUS.

The man, who fairly and compleatly answers this argument, shall have my thanks and my applanse. My heart is already with him - I am ready to be converted.—I admire his morality, and would gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith --- Grateful, as I am, to the GOOD BEING, whose bounty has imparted to ne this reasoning intellect, whatever it is, I hold myself proportionably indebted to him, from whose enlightened understanding another ray of knowledge communicates to mine. Eut neither should I think the most exalted faculties of the human mind, a gift worthy of the divinity; nor any affiltance in the improvement of them, a subject of gratitude to my fellow creature, if I were not satisfied, that really to inform the understanding corrects and enlarges the heart.

opinion, fir, the American war is in this truly critical zera one of the firongest arguments for the regulation of our representation, which I now submit to the house. During the rest of our lives, likewide, I may venture to prophecy, America will be the leading feature of this age. In our late disputes with the Amoricans, we have always taken it for granted, that the people of England justified all the iniquitous, cruel, arbitrary, and mad proceedings of administration, because they had the approbation of the majority of this house. The absurdity of such an argument is apparent, for the majority of this house we know speak only the sense of 5723 persons, even . supposing, according to the laudable, constitutional custom of our ancestors, that the constituent had been confulted on this great national point, as he ought to have been. We have seen in what manner the acquiescence of a majority here is obtained. The people in the fouthern part of this island amount to upwards of five millions. The fense, therefore, of five millions cannot be aftertained by the opinion of not 6000, even supposing it had been collected. The Americans with H A great

great reason infilt, that the present war is carried on, contrary to the sense of the nation, by a ministerial junto, and an arbitrary faction. equally hostile to the rights of Englishment and the claims of Americans. The various addresses to the throne from most numerous bodies, praying that the fword may be returned to the scabbard, and all hostilities cease. confirm this affertion. The capital of our country has repeatedly declared, by various public acts, its abhorrence of the present unnatural civil war, begun on principles fubverfive of our constitution. Our history furnishes frequent instances of the fense of Parliament running directly counter to the fense of the nation. It was notoriously of late the case in the business of the Middlesex election. I believe the fact to be equally certain in the grand American dispute, at least as to the actual hostilities now carrying on against our brethren and fellow-subjects. The proposition before us will bring the case to an issue, and from a fair and equal representation of the people, America may at length distinguish the real fentiments of freemen and Englishmen.

I de

I do not mean, fir, at this time, to go into a tedious detail of all the various proposals, which have been made for redressing this irregularity in the representation of the people. I will not intrude on the indulgence of the House, which I have always found favourable and encouraging. When the bill is brought in, and fent to a committee, it will be the proper time to examine all the minutize of this great plan, and to determine on the propriety of what ought now to be done, and to confider what formerly was actually accomplished. The journals of Cromwell's Parliaments prove that a more equal representation was settled, and carried by him into execution. That wonderful, comprehensive mind embraced the whole of this powerful empire. Ireland was put on a par with Scotland. Each kingdom fent thirty members to a Parliament, which confifted likewise of four hundred from England: and Wales. It was to be triennial. Our colonies were then a speck on the face of the globe; now they cover half the new world. I will at this time, fir, only throw out general ideas, that every free agent in this kingdom should, in my wish, be represented in ParParliament; that the metropolis, which contains in itself a ninth part of the people, and the counties of Middlesex, York, and others, which so greatly abound with inhabitants, should receive an increase in their representation; that the mean, and insignificant boroughs, so emphatically stiled the rotten part of our constitution, should be lopped off, and the electors in them thrown into the counties; and the rich, populous, trading towns, Birmingham, Manchester, Shessield, Leeds, and others, be permitted to send deputies to the great council of the nation.

The distranchifing of the mean, venal, and dependent boroughs would be laying the axe to the root of corruption and treasury in fluence, as well as aristocratical tyranny. We ought equally to guard against these, who sell themselves, or whose Lords sell them. Burgage tenures, and private property in a share of the legislature, are monstrous absorbities in a free state, as well as an insult on common sense. I wish, sir, an English Parliament to speak the free, unbiassed sense of the body of the English people, and of every man among us, of each individual, who may justly be supposed

pased to be comprehended in a fair majority. The meanest mechanic, the poorest peasant and day-labourer, has important rights respecting his personal liberty, that of his wife and children, his property, however inconsiderable, his wages, his earnings, the very price and value of each day's hard labour, which are in many trades and manufactures regulated by the power of Parliament. Every law relative to marriage, to the protection of a wife, lifter, or daughter, against violence and brutal lust, to every contract or agreement with a rapacious or unjust master, is of importance to the manufacturer, the cottager, the fervant, as well as to the rich subjects of the state. Some share therefore in the power of making those laws, which deeply interest them, and to which they are expected to pay obedience, should be reserved even to this inferior, but most useful, set of men in the community. We ought always to remember this important truth, acknowledged by every free state, that all government is instituted for the good of the mass of the people to be governed; that they are the original fountain of power, and even of revenue, and in all events the last resource.

The

The various instances of partial injustice throughout this kingdom will likewise become the proper subjects of enquiry in the course of the bill before the committee. Of this nature are the many freeholds in the city of London, which are not represented in this House. These freeholds being within the particular jurisdiction of the city, are excluded from giving a vote in the county of Middlesex, and by act of Parliament only liverymen can vote for the Representatives of the City of London. These, and other particulars, I leave. I mention them now to shew the necessity of a new regulation of the representation of this kingdom.

My enquiries, fir, are confined to the fouthern part of the island. Scotland I leave to the care of its own careful and prudent sons. I hope they will spare a sew moments from the management of the arduous affairs of England and America, which are now solely entrusted to their wisdom, and at present so much engross their time, to attend to the state of representation among their own people, if they have not all * emigrated to this warmer and

[•] Without any abstract reasonning upon causes and effects, we shall soon be convinced by experience, that the Scots, transplanted from their own country, are always

more fruitful climate. I am almost afraid the forty-five Scottish gentlemen among us represent .themselves. Perhaps in my plan for the improvement of the representation of the inhabitants of England, almost all the natives of Scotland may at this time be included. I shall only remark, that the proportion of representation between the two countries cannot be changed. In the twentyfecond article of the treaty of Union fortyfive is to be the proportion of the representative body in the Parliament of Great-Britain for the northern part of this Island. To increase the members for England and Wales beyond the number, of which the English Parliament confisted at the period of that treaty in 1706, would be a breach of public faith, and a violation of a folemn treaty between two independent states. My proposition has for its hafis

always a diffine and feparate body from the people who receive them. In other fettlements, they only love themselves; -- in England, they cordially love themselves, and as cordially hate their neighbours.

Junius. Preface, p. 26.
The weafel Scots are hungry, and want feeding.

Epistle to Dr. Shebbeare.

The eagle England being in prey,
To her unguarded nest the weafel Scot

Comes faeaking, and so sucks her princely eggs.
Shakespeare.

basis the preservation of that compact, the proportional share of each kingdom in the legislative body remaining exactly according to its present establishment.

The monstrous injustice and glaring partiality of the present representation of the commons of England has been fully stated, and is, 1 believe, almost universally acknowledged, as well as the necessity of our recurring to the great leading principle of our free constitution, which declares this House of Parliament to be only a delegated power from the people at large. Policy, no less than justice, calls our attention to this momentous point. Reafon, not custom, ought to be our guide in a business of this consequence, where the rights of a free people are materially interested. Without a true representation of the Commons-our constitution is effentially defective, and our Parliament a delusive name, a mere pliantom. All other remedies to recover the pristine purity of the form of government established by our ancestors would be inessectual, even the shortening the period of Parliaments, even a place and pension bill, both which I highly approve, and think absolutely necessary

receffary. I therefore flatter myfelf, fir, that I shall have the concurrence of the House with the motion, which I have now the honour of making, "That leave be given to bring in a bill for a just and equal representation of the people of England in Parliament."

Votes of April 30, 1776.

A Motion was made, and the Question being put, "That the Resolution of this House "of the 17th of February 1769, that John "Wilkes, Esquire, having been in this Session of Parliament expelled this House, was, and is, incapable of being elected a Member to ferve in this present Parliament, he expunged from the Journals of this House, as being subversive of the rights of the whole body of electors of this kingdom."

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

The veneration, with which I am deeply impressed for the constitution of my country, the love and affection of a native to the noble privileges, the laws and liberties of England,

as well as duty and gratitude to the much injured freeholders of Middlesex, are the powerful motives of my again troubling the House with a question so frequently agitated within these walls, so fully discussed even the last section, although not hitherto sollowed with the success, which I hope on the present occasion.

The profligacy, venality, and open attempts against liberty by the last House of Commons, have made their memory odious to the people. The annals of our country are disgraced with their various violations of the rights of the subject. I shall now confine myself to a single case, but it was of the blackest nature, of the deepest dye, and branched out into many enormities, which still demand ample attonement. I allude to the iniquitous and daring tresolutions

Journals. Feb. 14, 1771, vol. 33, p. 162.

[†] The Speech of the Speaker, Sir Fletcher Norton, when he reprimanded the Returning Officer of Shore-bam, Hugh Roberts, on his knees.

In vain have our ancestors been anxiously careful to secure the freedom of elections, by all the means human wisdom and foresight could suggest; in vain have they particularly guarded against the partiality of the Returning Officer, and obliged him, by every tie, to a faithful discharge of that trust, which the Constitution hath reposed in his hands, if men are to be found DARING enough to send members to this House, who were never chosen by the Legal electors.

tions respecting the elections for the county of Middlesex, and the seating Mr. Luttrest in the House, although he had consessed only a minority of the suffrages of the freeholders, in whom the constitution has placed the right of election. While these resolutions, sir, remain among our records, I consider a precedent established under the fanction of this House to red not only a whole county, but the entire collective body of electors of this kingdom, of their birthright, and most valuable inheritance. It is a precedent, which may be brought home to every borough, city, and county, to every freeholder, every elector in the island.

The facts were fully stated to the House in the debate on this subject the last year, and I am persuaded they live in the memory of every gentleman. I shall desire the Clerk to read only one resolution. It is that of February 17, 1769, 66 That John Wilkes, Esq; having 66 been, in this session of Parliament, expelled 66 this House, was, and is, incapable of being 66 elected a member to serve in this present Parace liament. This declaration, in my opinion, transfers from the people to this House the Vol. I.

right of election, and by an unbounded, uncontrouled exercise of the negative power, tha House in effect assume the positive right of making whom they please the representatives of the people in Parliament. I am very ready to. admit that there are various natural and legal incapacities, and when the party is subject to. any one of them, he is not eligible. Aliens, minors, bishops, are incapable of being elected into a House of Commons. Belides these. there are other incapacities arising from the peculiar circumstances of the case, and some created by particular statutes. Where however there is no natural or legal difability, the capacity of being elected is the inherent right of every freeman of the realm. He cannot be divested of it without an equal injury to. the party, and to the constituent, in whom the power is constitutionally lodged of determining whom he thinks the most fit and proper person to act for him in the great coun. cil of the nation. The declaration of the House therefore, that any man, duly qualified. by law, shall not be allowed to sit in Parliament as a representative of the Commons of the realm, was affuming to themselves the making

{ 115 J

making a new law, to which only the three estates are adequate. It was disfranchising a whole county, and consequently in effect the united kingdom.

The public attention has been fo long fixed. on this important bufiness, that it would be the weakest and vainest presumption in me to attempt any new arguments in support of a right acknowledged by every man, who is not in a detestable league for the subversion of all our rights, liberties, and franchises. I shall not enter on a dull repetition of the debates, which for the last seven years have come on every session. nor repeat a multitude of cases and precedents; but while I have a feat in this House, I pledge myself to my country, that I will be. firm and unwearied in my endeavours, till every fyllable on our Journals, which marks the injustice done to the freeholders of Middlesex, and to every elector in the island, be fully erased or obliterated.

Since the debate, however, on this question in the last session, almost within a fortnight after, a case respecting the election at Abingdon was determined here in a manner diametrically opposite to one part of this pretend-

I 2

ed

ed law of Parliament, which has been folemnly laid down by all the advocates of the ministry in the affair of Mr. Luttrell. They argued, that all the votes given to Mr. Wilkes were thrown away, because they were given, as they afferted, to a person labouring under a legal incapacity, and confequently Mr. Luttrell, with only 206 freeholders, was entitled to his feat in preference to the other candidate with 1143. The majority of the electors of Middlefex. fir, fully answered this argument in that remarkable petition presented to the House by the worthy *baronet near me, on the 20th of April, 1769, in which they afferted, " that 44 Mr. Luttrell had not the majority of legal 66 votes; nor did they, when they voted for " Mr. Wilkes, mean thereby to throw away their votes, or to wave their right of reprefentation, nor would they, by any means, have chosen to be represented by Mr. Lut-44 trell." Notwithstanding this petition, the House, on the 8th of May following, declared Mr.

BALLTON

^{*} Sir George Savile.

Than whom a better fenator ne'er hald

The helm of Rome, when gowns not arms repell'd The fierce Upo it, and the African bold.

Mr. Luttrell duly elected. Now let us examine the still more recent case of the Abingdon. election, the determination of the select committee, with all the proceedings of the present-Parliament in that business. I will state them briefly, and shall afterwards desire the clerk to read from the Journals the more important pasfages. Mr. Bayley's petition was presented to this House on the 6th of December, 1774. It was confined to one fingle objection, the legal difability of the other candidate, no accusation of bribery or corruption, riots, unfair practifes, or illegal votes, being exhibited. It stated, "that at the place of election, and " before the taking of the poll, the mayor of " the faid borough and the other electors were " publicly told, that as the other candidate, "Mr. John Mayor, was then high sheriff of "that county, he was incapable of being " chosen a member to represent the faid bo-" rough in this present Parliament, and that all " votes given for the faid high sheriff would be "thrown away; notwithstanding which, the " mayor of the faid borough did himself vote, 46 and also received the votes of divers other " persons for the said high sheriff; and that the 13

" faid high meriff hath returned himfelf as-" duly elected for the faid borough, in manise fest prejudice of the petitioner, who, being the only candidate capable of being elected, 44 ought to have been returned." The facts. as stated in the petition, were admitted, as well as the numbers at the close of the poll, for Mr. Mayor 146, for Mr. Bayley only 116. The great question was, whether, if Mr. Mayor was not eligible, the votes for him werethrown away, and Mr. Bayley, who had not the majority of electors, should be declared; duly elected? The felect committee, on the 6th of March, 1775, reported, that neither Mr. Mayor, nor Mr. Bayley, was duly elected, and that the election was void. House immediately directed a new writ to issue for Abingdon. The ministerial advocates, who infift on Mr. Wilkes's legal incapacity. can fearcely find a case more exactly parallel. It is equalled only by the well known precedent of Mr. Walpole, and Mr. Taylor, in 1711. Yet the burgeffes of Lynn were not infulted, by having a gentleman declared their representative, whom they never elected. The Abingdon cafe was of the most public notoriety

riety. The returning officer, and all the electors of Abingdon, were publicly informed of Mr. Mayor's legal incapacity. Mr. Bayley polled near half the voters of that borough. His opposition however being not to a friend of liberty and the people, but having made the attack on a subaltern in the ministerial forces, he had little chance of being an adopted child of the House, or of a committee selected from the present majority.

The arbitrary refolution on our Journals, and the appointment of Mr. Luttrell, I confider as an absolute surrender of the constitution to the minister. The laws of the land are of no avail, when this House alone can make a new law, adapted to the caprice, violence, or injuffice of every emergency, and when representation in Parliament no longer depends upon the choice of the electors. The people of England may in vain assemble with the fond hope of effectually exercising their nobleft franchife, if the object of their choice is really what he ought to be, an independent friend of liberty, fuperior to menace or corruption. The minister has found out a way to baffle them in all their proceedings. He may, IA 00

Digitized by Google

on any pretence, however frivolous, procure an expulsion, and expulsion, we know, means incapacitation; so that during a long period of seven years, the favourite object of a country's choice may be kept out, and the nominee of a minister be declared to represent a populous county. Can there be a more solemn mockery of the rights of a free people?

While fuch arbitrary resolutions as those respecting the Middlesex elections remain on our Journals, I think the minister holds high the rod of vengeance over the head of every member of this House. He stands here with an uplifted arm, sublimi flagel o, to punish the refractory, and almost every action of the majority feems to betray their being either swayed by the dastardly passion of fear, or corrupted by the mean principle of reward. We are governed by Solon's plan, præmio et pæna, as Cicero has summed it up in three words. Is a member obnoxious or unruly? Accuse him of a libel, or any other crime; then vote away your own privileges to get at him; and before the cause can come to a trial by a jury of his countrymen on oath, examine two or three partial and well-instructed witnesses under no **fuck**

fuch fanction, and you may proceed immediately to expulsion. You then vote him incapable of being re-elected. The creature of: the minister is received in his place, and declared a representative of the people. A. House of Commons may in this manner be so garbled, as not to contain a fingle fair and honest representative, elected according to the law of the land.

The motion of expunging from our records the retolutions of which I complain, is I know confidered by some gentlemen as a violent measure. The case, I think, requires the most spirited mode of redress. I wish as full atonement to the people as possible. The last Parliament gave us an instance of expunging from our Journals what never ought to have been moved in this House, the thanks to a stupid tory parson, of the rank Oxford breed .

Re-

⁺ Journals of Feb. 25, 1772; Vol. 33, p. 509.

The House was moved, That the Entry in the Votes of the House, of the 31st day of January last, "that the thanks of this House he given to the Reverend " Doctor Nowell, for the Sermon preached by him " yesterday before this House, at St. Margaret's Westminster, and that he be detired to print the same, " &c, &c," might be read.

breed, for a libel on the Revolution, and the present establishment, in a sermon preached on the anniversary of the merited death of the tyrant, Charles I. If so trilling a business as a soolists

Resolved, That the said Entry be expunged from the Votes of this House.

The tory fame of the wretched Dollar Nowell has lately suffered a total eclipse by a pedantic schoolmaster of Westminster, promoted to the Archbishoprick of York in Dec. 1776. a Doctor William Markbam. He preached a fermon, all-powerful to footbe the foul in flumbers, at Bow Church in the City, Feb. 21, 1777, before the Incorporated Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in foreign parts. In what a meek spirit of christianity, of gentleness, patience, and forbearance, does this Minister of the gospel of peace state the nature, and bewail the wickedness, of the deluded parties among us? By what tender bonds of love and charity does this High Priest endeavour to bring over the noblemen and gentlemen in the apposition to the court paths of honour, peace, and perhaps preferment? His Grace observes. " nothing is too mean for the uses of parties, as they are " Now constituted Parties once had a principle belong-" ing to them, abfurd perhaps, and indefensible, but still " carrying a notion of duty, by which honest minds " might easily be caught. But they are now combi-" nations of individuals, who instead of being the " fons and fervants of the community, make a league " for advancing their private interests. It is their " bufiness to hold high the notion of political honour. "I believe and trust it is not injurious to fay, that " fuch a bond is no better than that, by which the lowest u and

foolish sermon, neither heard nor read by a fcore persons, called for so spirited a measure, can it be deemed improper or violent, when the rights of all the electors of the kingdom have been openly invaded, and the asurpation suffished

" and wickedest combinations are beld together; and that
" it denotes the last stage of political depravity."

And is really the union in a great national cause of such men as have composed the present opposition, and set their names to the spirited Protests of the very last session, the Portlands, Richmonds, Devonshires, Manchesters, Rockinghams, Abingdons, Chathams, Shelbusnes, Essinghams, Fitzwilliams, Townstons, &c, &c, and of the Saviles, Cavendishes, Townstends, Johnstones, Glynns, Wrays, Burkes, Barrés, &c, &c, is such a combination of individuals in the two Houses of, Parliament to be considered as a bond no better than that, by subich the lowest and swickedst combinations are beld together? Are they really no better than the lowest and wickedst combinations of pirates and banditus. Are they really no better than gangs of robbers and highwaymen?

Ne fævi, magna facerdos.

Virgil. Æn. 6. 1. 544.

Surely instead of this coarse compliment we might expect a little favour from his Grace, when he assures us, "the apostles themselves, with all their advantages, were not easily freed from the entanglement of popular error." If the inspired Apostles did indeed err, we unimpired private christians might expect some indulgence, not inquisitorial severity on account of our popular errors, not to be considered as in a desperate case, in the last stage of political depravity. I believe

and truff it is injurious to far this.

This

juffined by gentlemen in the highest offices, under the crown? The circumstance is truly alarming, and demands the utmost exertions of an honest zeal and generous ardour for the public weal.

I own that I am not so nice and scrupulous about preserving every line of the immensity of the learned lumber in our Journals. They are become

This political fermon tells us, "what is affumed upon the present occasion is the glorious nature of LIBERTY. " It realizes and secures all the rest; and by those, who " are in the enjoyment of it, ought to be maintained at " all bazards." Have not the Americans on this just ground taken up arms? "But it remains to be fettled." Was it not fettled by the Revolution? "Wherein does " it confift?" St. Paul, in the Epiftle to the Romans, has answered this question of the Archbishop of York. He makes liberty, glorious liberty, confift in being delivered from the bondage of corruption, a prayer, which is often made by the people of England for the High Court of Parliament. The Apostle says, "because the er creature itself also shall be delivered from the bonde age of corruption into the glorious liberty of the " children of God." chap. 8, ver. 21. The Archbishop goes on, " I bave sometimes thought it a " misfortune, that a thing so valuable and impor-" tant, should have no word in our language to express " it, except one which goes to every thing that is wild " and lawlefs." Does the fign fication of the word liberty really go to every thing that is wild and lawless? Has this learned Prelate, who is so deep in Latin, Greek, become the mere registers of royal edicat, of turnpike roads, enclosures, and matters of private business. There are many whole pages disgraceful to the nation among our trivial, fond records. My heart bleeds, when I read all the unjust and inhuman reso-

lutions

Hebrew, the Coptic, Persian, Chaldaic, Arabic, Syriac, Phoenician, Pa'myrene, Chinese, Gentoo, &c, &c, who is himself a perfect Polyglott, has he never heard of the English words licentiousness and licence to express every thing that is wild and lawlefs, as distinguished from liberty. Pensioner Johnson's Dictionary would have told him that litentiousness is " boundless liberty, a con-" tempt of just restraint." He might there have found the authority of Swift, who fays, "this custom has se been always looked upon, by the wifest men, as an " effect of licentiousness, and not of liberty." He would in the same dictionary have found that "licence" " is exorbitant liberty, contempt of legal and necessary " restraint." Milton understood the difference between liberty and licence, as well as Swift did between liberty and licenticufnefs, although the Archbishop of York feems to understand neither. The English Homer fays, "Licence they mean, when they cry liberty." The English language is not reproached with poverty, but by those, who are ignorant of it.

What a melancholy confideration is it for the rifing

generation, that an illiberal Priest, one of

The low-born, cell-bred, felfish, servile band, although now promoted to be a general officer in the fable army of the ministerial mercenaries of the Church Militant in the House of Lords, that such a

Digitized by Google

the fleets and armies voted for the vain attempt of subduing the unconquerable spirit of liberty among the descendants of Englishmen. When I reslect on the subsequent proceedings, the barbarous and savage manner is which you have carried on the war, by attempting to starve thousands of industrious sistermen, and labouring poor, with their families, as well as the burning open and defenceless towns and villages, I wish, for the national humanity and honour, which former-

Ìγ

man should have been Preceptor to the children of England, to the Prince of Wales, and Bishop of Osnabrugh? Was a mere bookwarm, who does not yet know the meaning of the most important word in the language of this free country, who is equally ignorant of the thing, and is found stumbling at the very threshold of liberty, was such a person site for such a charge? The child that is unborn may rue the satal mistake. Is the next age likewise to deplore the mischievous errors of the bad education of their prince?

I was really forced to remind his Grace of the Apostle Paul. May I softly whitper in his ear a few words of St Peter, because they are a good receipt to cure magisterial pride and petulance? As free, and not using your LI-BERTY for a cloke of MALICIOUSNESS---honour als

men. hove the brotherbood.

The First Epistle General of Peter, ch. ii. v. 16, 17.

Jy flood to high, that there black pages of our Journals, and every trace of the cruelties and horrors which followed, were abliterated from the records of this House, of this kingdom, of the human race.

In justice to the usurped and violated rights of this county, in a sull sense of duty to all my brother electors at large, and to prevent the most satal and pernicious precedent being ever used by a wicked and ill designing minister to the destruction of the sacred right of election, of national freedom, and independency, I move, that the resolution of the House of the 17th of February, 1769, that John Wilkes, Esq. having been in this session of Parliament expelled this House, swas and is, incapable of sixting in the present Parliament, be expunged from the journals of this House, as being subversive of the rights of the whole body of the electors of this kingdom.

Votes of October 31, 1776.

Resolved, "That an humble address be pre-"fented to His Majesty, to return His Ma-"jesty the thanks of this House, for His most "gracious Speech from the Throne;

T,

To assure His Majesty, "that while we "lament the continuance of the troubles "which have so long distracted His Colonies in "North America, and of the calamities and oppressions which our unhappy Fellow Sub-"jects are still suffering under the arbitrary tyranny of their leaders, we cannot forbear to express our detestation and abhorrence of the audacious and desperate spirit of ambition, which has at last carried those Leaders for far, as openly to renounce all allegiance to the Crown, and all political connection with this country; and in direct terms to presume to set up their rebellious confederacies for Independent States," &c. &c.

Mr. Wilkes said.

Mr. Speaker,

The + honourable gentleman, who spoke last, endeavours to mislead the House. It is certain that no pressing has at this time been carried on in the city of London, or its liberties. No press-gangs have dared to make their appearance in that jurisdiction. Those lawless bands

⁺ George Wombwell, Efq.; Member for Hunning-

bands of cruel banditti very prudently chose other scenes of horror and bloodshed, of less danger to themselves. The city has hitherto remained in perfect safety and tranquility, in a most happy state of security, by the vigilance, intrepidity, and noble love of liberty, which are conspicuous in its present worthy; chief magistrate.

The conduct of Administration, Sir, in the sate issuing of press-warrants, before they had tried the operation of the high bounty, is totally unjustifiable. The Speech now in your hand, Sir, is so very pacific, that the large bounty of five pounds for every able, and fifty shillings for every ordinary, seaman, promised in last Saturday's Gazette, might safely, for a short time at least, have been trusted to, the emergency not being thought by administration very critical. From the minister's own state of public affairs in the Speech there was no danger in the experiment. Much cruelty and bloodshed had been avoided, many valuable lives preserved.

The affair of Long Island has been mifrepresented, and greatly magnified. The su-Vol. I. K periority

^{, 1,} Mr. Sawbridge, Member for London, then Lord Mayor.

periority of numbers was very confiderable. General Howe landed 22,000 men. The provincials had only 6,000 effective men on that island. They were ordered to retreat, and 4,000 did accordingly, without being attacked. embark for the island of New-York. There was a real mistake of orders as to the other 2,000, but they acted as brave men always will act under a mistake of orders; they fought. They saw the enemy, lest their entrenchments, and attacked with spirit. From the fuperiority of numbers, and their flanks being neglected and unguarded, they were totally defeated. They did not however remain inactive, like cowards, on an important day of battle. No fuch imputation can be fixed on them. Nothing decisive can follow from the late successful affair against the Americans on Long Island, no more than from the defeat of the British troops at Sullivan's island. New York will probably fall into your hands, but your fituation will in that case be scarcely mended fince the last year, for you then posfessed the capital of North America, Boston. Is that great and important town advantageously exchanged for New York? I forgot that

that we still likewise possess the sishing hamlet of Halisax.—But, Sir, we ought to take a much larger and more comprehensive view of this interesting scene, which is now fully disclased.

The important dispute of Great Britain with ther colonies has for a considerable time fixed the attention, not only of this nation, but of almost all Europe. The most essential interests of this country, and indeed of the greater part of the powers on the continent, are deeply interested in the event. The facrifice of so much blood and treasure is to every state an object of high importance, but to us, whose empire seems mouldering away, of the nearest concern. I much fear we are now brought by inextricable difficulties to the very verge of destruction.

Since our last meeting, Sir, the scene, with respect to America, has totally changed. In-stead of negociations with various provincial assemblies, instead of deliberating on the most effectual mode of enforcing the parchment declaration of our transfer of constitutional superiority over

[†] The late administration came into employment under the mediation of the Duke of Cumberland, July

our Colonies, of our right to bind them in all casts whatsoever, we must consider if we have power to bind them in any case, for we have now a war to carry on against the Free and Independent

. States

July 10, 1765, and was removed, upon a plan fettled by the Earl of Chatham, on July 30, 1766, having lasted one year and 25 days.

In that space of time

The diffractions of the British Empire were composed by "The Repeal of the American Stamp-Act,"

But the conflitutional superiority of Great Britain was preserved by

"The Ast for securing the dependance of the Colonies," &c, &c.

A foort Account of a late Administration.
[published in August 1766.]

The A&, commonly called the *Declaratory A&*, is fo much the subject of political dispute, that I shall give it entire from the Statutes at large.

Anno Sexto Georgii III. A. D. 1766.

An Act for the better securing the Dependency of His Majesty's Deminions in America upon the Crown and Parliament of Great Britain.

Whereas several of the Houses of Representatives in His Majesty's Colonies and Plantations in America, have of late, against Law, claimed to themselves, or to the General Assemblies of the same, the sole and exclusive right of imposing Duties and Taxes upon His Majesty's subjects in the said Colonies and Plantations; and have, in pursuance of such claim, passed certain votes, resolutions, and orders, derogatory to

flates of America; a wicked war, which has been occasioned solely by a spirit of violence, injustice, and obstinacy in our ministers, unparalelled in history. In the beginning of

K 3 Sep

the legislative authority of Parliament, and inconsistent with the dependency of the faid Colonies and Plantations upon the Crown of Great Britain: May it therefore please your most excellent Majesty, that it may be declared; and be it declared by the King's most excellent Majefty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, that the said Colonies and Plantations in America have been, are, and of right ought to be, subordinate unto, and dependent upon, the imverial Crown and Parliament of Great Britain; and that the King's Majesty, by and with the advice and confent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons of Great Britain, in Parliament affembled, bad, bath, and of right ought to bave, full power and authority to make Laws and Statutes of fufficient force and validity to bind the Colonies and People of America, fubjects of the Crown of Great Britain, in all cases wbatfoever.

II. And be it further declared and enacted by the authority aforefaid, That all Resolutions, Votes, Orders, and Proceedings, in any of the said Colonies or Plantations, whereby the power and authority of the Parliament of Great Britain, to make Laws and Statutes as aforesaid is denied or drawn into question, are, and are hereby declared to be, utterly null and void to all intents and purposes whatsoever.

.The

September, in the last year, † a very humble and doubled Petition was fent from the congress to his Majesty, in which his Majesty was supplicated "to direct fome mode, by which the "united applications of his faithful colonists "to the throne, in pursuance of their com- "mon councils, may be improved into a hap- py and permanent reconciliation." There was not a word in the petition which did not breathe submission and loyalty, and yet the official answer of Lord Dartmouth, secretary at that time for the American department, after a long deliberation, was to the last degree irritating. It was, that no answer would be piven.

The De. laratory Act, and the Repeal of the American Stamp-Act, received the Royal Assent on the same day, March 18, 1766, in the Administration of the

Marquis of Rockingham.

In Provincial Congress, Watertown, April 26, 1775+

Friends, and Fellow Subjects,

Hostilities are at length commenced in this colony by the troops under command of General Gage, Sec. &c. These,

[†] The strong define of peace and reconciliation in the inhabitants of Massachuser's Bay, which has been always considered as the most violent as well as powerful of all the American colonies, even immediately after the marders by the King's troops at Lexington and Concord, on the 19th of April, 1775, is conspicuous in their Address to the inhabitants of Great Britain."

given, that is, we will not treat, we fcorn to negociate with you, we exact unconditional fub-mission. This answer, Sir, in my opinion, might justly be called indignity and infult, and in a much higher degree than what the Speech says the Americans have offered to England, after all the provocations on our part. It drove the Americans to despair, and with the violation of the perfidious promises in Lord K 4 Hillsborough's

These, brethren, are marks of ministerial vengeznee against this colony, for refusing, with her sister colonies, a submission to slavery; but they have not yet detached as from our royal sovereign; whe projest to be his loyal and dutiful safety; and so hardly deast with as we have been, are still ready with out lives and fortunes to desend his person, family, crewn, and dignity; nevertheless to the persecution and tyranny of his cruel ministry, we will not tamely submit; appealing to Heaven for the justice of our cause, we detarmine to die or be free.

We esnnot think that the koncur, willem and valour of Britans will fuffir them to be longer inactive spectators of mersures in which they themselves are so deeply interested; neasures pursued in opposition to the sulemn Pratests of many noble Lords, and expressed sense of conspicuous Committe, which knowledge and virtue have long characterized them as some of the greatest men in the mission; measures executing contrary to the interest, petitions and resolves of many large respectable countres, cales, and boroughs in Great Britain; measures highly incompatible with institute.

Hillsborough's famous official t circular letter, laid the real foundation of their Declaration of Independency.

Much has been said, Sir, of the prophecy of the ministers, that the Americans would in the end declare themselves independent. I give the ministers no credit for such a prophecy. They went on the surest grounds. They might very safely promulgate such a prediction, when they knew that the unjust and sanguinary measures, which they intended to pursue, must bring about the

event.

justice, but still pursued with a specious pretence of easing the nation of its burthens; measures which, if successful, must end in the ruin and slavery of Britain, as well as the persecuted American colonies.

We fincerely kope that the great Sovereign of the Universe, who hath so often appeared for the English nation, will support you in every rational and manly exertion with these colonies for saving it from ruin, and that in a constitutional connection with our mother country, we shall soon be altogether a free and bappy people. Signed by Order,

JOS. WARREN, President,

† The Circular Letter of the Earl of Hillsborough,
Secretary of State for the Colonies, to all the Governors on the Continent and Islands.

Whitehall, May 13, 2769.
Inclosed I send you the gracious Speech made by the King to his Parliament at the close of the Session on Tuesday last.

What

event. They drove the Americans into their present state of independency. The Jesuits in France risqued nothing when they prophesed in 1610 the death of the best prince that ever reigned in Europe, within that year. Their's was the fure word of prophecy. They employed Ravaillac to assassinate their sovereign.

Αn

What His Majesty is pleased to say in relation to the measures which have been pursued in North America will not escape your notice, as the satisfaction His Majesty expresses in the approbation his Parliament has given to them, and the assurances of their sirm support in the prosecution of them, together with his royal opinion of the great advantages that will probably accrue from the concurrence of every branch of the legislature in the resolution of maintaining a due execution of the laws, cannot fail to produce the most salutary effects.

From hence it will be understood, that the whole legislature concur in the opinion adopted by His Majesty's servants, that no measure ought to be taken which can any way derogate from the legislative authority of Great Britain over the Colonies; but I take upon me to assure you, notwithstanding infinuations to the contrary from men with factious and seditious views, that His Majesty's present administration have at no time entertained a design to propose to Parliament to lay any further taxes upon America for the purpose of raising a revenue. and that it is at present their intention to propose in the next Session of Parliament to take off the duties upon glass, paper and co-

An honograble + gentleman near me, fir, attucks the American Declaration of Independents in a very peculiar manner. He pronounces it a wretched composition, very ill written, drawn up only with the view to castivate the seable. That, fir, is the very reason why I approve it most as a composition, as well as a wife, political meafure, for the people are to decide this great controversy. If they are captivated by it, the end is attained. The polished periods, the harmonious, happy expressions, the grace, ease, and elegance of a beautiful diction, which we chiefly admire, very little captivate the people of America. Manly, nervous fense they relish, even in the most awkward and un-. couth

lours upon confideration of fuch duties having been laid contrary to the true principles of commerce.

These have always been, and still are, the sentiments of His Majesty's present servants, and the principles by which their conduct with respect to America has been governed, and His Majesty relies upon your produce and sidelity for such an explanamation of His measures, as may tend to remove the prejudices which have been excited by the misrepresentations of those who are enemies to the peace and prosperity of Great Britain and her Colonies, and to re-establish that mutual confidence and affection upon which the glory and safety of the British empire depend.

† Governor Johnstone, Member for Appleby.

couch dress of language. Whatever comptofiction produces the effect which is intended in the most forcible manner, is, is my opinion, the best, and the most to be approved. That mode should always be pursued. It has the most merit, as well as success, on the great threatre of the world no less than on the stage, whether you mean to inspire pity, terver, or any other passion.

The honourable + gentleman, Sir, who feconded the address, says, the American Declaration of Independency was no funprize to himnor I believe, Sir, to any man of common reflection, after our hostilities and cruelties, after the frantic and extravagant career, which administration pursued, with a full chorus of approbation from the majority of this House.

The speech in your hand, Sir, which an thonourable gentleman near me has well called a speech of hypocrify, mentions the "assurances" of amity, which his Majesty continues to "receive from the several courts of Europe." At the beginning of the last session, the minister gave us in the King's speech more explicit

pricie

[†] George Finch Hatton, Efq; Member for Rochester.

[!] Governor Johnstone.

plicit assurances. It was faid, "I am liappy 46 to add, as well from the affurances I have se received, as from the general appearance of sfairs in Europe, Liee no probability that 56 the measures, which you may adopt, will be interrupted by disputes with any foreign " power." We have no fuch affurances held out to us this year, that our measures will not be interrupted by disputes with any foreign power; but we have still assurances of amity. which are daily contradicted by the immense preparations of the neighbouring foreign powers of France and Spain, and indeed of the whole house of Bourbon. The accounts from Naples contain little but the vast preparations making by the king of the two Sicilies. Are we indeed simple and credulous enough to trust to general vague expressions of politeness, against the clear evidence of facts? Our ministry know very well that an American privateer being lately stopped at Bilboa in Biscay, an express was immediately dispatched to Madrid, which returned with the fullest directions for the release of the privateer, and permission to furnish him with provisions, stores, ammunition, in short, with whatever he wanted. This fact

Fact will not be denied. Is Spain then one of the foreign powers, which again foothes us with these honied assarances of amity? Has fate ordained, that we are neither to possess capacity enough to profit by the example of others, nor even by our own experience? In the very first year of the present reign, in September 1761, the Gazette told us, that "the " Catholic king had, at no time, been more " intent upon cultivating a good correspones dence with England, than in the present con-" juncture." This declaration was received ferioully here, held out as part of the court creed among us, and laughed at by all the rest of Europe. In the beginning of the following January, without any one new fact having occurred of any moment, war was declared by England against Spain. Will the plausible, fmooth-tongued French likewise be able to lull us into a fatal fecurity against the evidence of all history? Can we expect to be treated by them in any other manner than the Spaniards were at the time of the famous revolt of Portugal? The French sent whole regiments, completely officered, into the fervice of the house of Braganza. They paid them underhand

hand the same as their national troops, yet all the while declared their abborrence of rebellions and of rebels, iffining proclamation after proclamation, and recalling their deserters under the most severe penalties. Sis, there is not a power in Europe, unsubsidized by Great-Britain, which does not wish success to the Americans. We are considered almost every where on the continent, in the odious light of + tyrants and oppressors:

The

Il paroit ici un papier qu'on dit être d'un homme important de votre nation. Il paroit par ce papier que le

[†] A French gentleman, whose universal genius is no less admired at Petensburg than at Peris, after mentioning some speeches in the English House of Commons on American Affairs, writes to his friend at London.

The speech, Sir, states, that "if treason w be fuffered to tale rest, much mischief must " prove from it to the lafety of my level colo-"nies." Alas! Sir. what we call treafon and rebellian, and they just resistance and a glorious revolution, has taken root, a very deep meet indeed, and has spread over almost all the American colonies. In this very speech, we are told of their numbers, their wealth, their frength by fea and land. The layal colonies are three, the free provinces thirteen. In laying on the embargo, the exception to the rule is ridiculous enough. It is as thirteen to three. The Gazette favs, "any of my colonies in "North America, except the colonies of New-"Hampshire, Massachuset's-Bay, Rhode-Is-" land, Connecticut, New-York, New-Jersey, 46 Pennsylvania, the three lower countries on

Burke's Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol.

" Dea

le projet ferret de la mere patrio, oft de faire égorger la moitié des colons, et de reduire le refie à la condition des negres.

Nothing furely could be more lamentable to those who remember the flourishing days of this kingdom, than to see the insane jay of saveral unhappy people, amids the sad spectage which our affairs and conduct exhibit to the score of Europe.

Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, North-Carolina, South-Carolina, and Georgia." Of what other colonies was his Majesty in possession at his accession to the throne? I think the permission extends only to the three provinces, which we have not yet lost, to the Roman Catholic provinces of Canada and Florida, and to Nova Scotia.

We have now been carrying on for two years a favage and piratical, as well as an unjust, war. Every demand of government has been complied with, and yet the great force employed both by sea and land has not hither-to recovered a single province of all the confederated colonies. On the contrary, the evil grows more desperate. † The last year only

[†] The wife Archbishop of York tell us, "that "the we must rely on the wisdom of our governors, in considence that NECESSITY will at last provide those remedies, which foresight did not. [This seems quite a new application of the dostrine of NECES- 1517."

Sermon preached in the City before the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in foreign parts.

America is not subdued. Not one unattacked village, which was originally adverse throughout that vast continent, has yet submitted from love or terror.

stwelve colonies humb'v petitioned the throne. This year, by the accession of Georgia, we have seen a seederal union of thirteen free and powerful provinces afferting their independency as bigh and nighty flates, and fetting our pow, er at defiance. This was done with circumstances of spirit and courage in these revolted colonies, to which posterity will do justice, for it was directly after the fafe landing of your whole force. In return you have plundered their coasts, and set fire to their open towns and defenceless villages with a barbarity, which difgraces the English name. In the midst of all the cruelties, terrors, and devastations, which follow your arms, for before them is as the garden of Eden, and behind them as the desolate wilderness, the spirit of the Americans is still unsubdued. I hope, and firmly believe, you never will conquer the free spirit of the descendants of Englishmen, thus exerted in an honest cause. They honour and value the bles-Vot. I. fings

You have the ground you encompon; and you have no more. The cantorments of your troops and your dominions are exactly of the same extent. You spread devastation, but you do not enlarge the sphere of authority.

Letter from Edmund Burke, Esq; to the Sheriffs of Bristol, dated April 3, 1777. Bristol ed. p. 25.

fings of liberty, and are determined to live and die freemen,* notwithstanding the vain efforts of every arbitrary power in Europe. It is a foolish attempt to think of conquering and holding the immense territory of North America, when the whole country is united against us. The Minister argues in a trifling manner in the Speach on the decisive goal confequences from events only in the province of New York. They do not prove that we shall subdue Virginia, or either of the Carolinas. Success in two or three battles or sieges cannot conclude for the shall success of a war, so extensive already, so greatly complicated.

As to the Junanimity at home prophecied of in the Speach, the very idea is abfurd, because

im -

Letter from Edmund Burke, Efq; to the Sheriffs

of Briftel. P 38.

^{*} If there be one fact in the world perfectly clear, it is this, "That the disposition of the people of Ame" rica is wholly averse to [from] any other than a free overnment." Page 55.

[†] They are continually boatting of unanimity, are calling for it. But before this unanimity can be matter either of wish or congratulation, we ought to be pretty fure, that we are engaged in a rational pursuit. Phrenzy does not become a flighter diffemper on account of the number of those who may be infected with it. Delusion and weakness produce not one mischief the less because they are universal.

impossible, while the present system of injustice and oppression is pursued. The American wat has been declared by feveral gentlemen in this House to be unjust, and unconstitutional in its first principle, and, if persisted in, neceffarily to bring on our ruin. We have neither force to conquer, nor strength to maintain such extensive conquests, if we could at present succeed, if we could continue, by every base compliance and sacrifice of national honour, to persuade our ancient open enemy, and present saife + friend, France, to wear the political malk of amity, and preferve a precarious peace. France secretly exults, when she sees our brave countrymen turning their victorious fwords into their own bowels.

Our fituation, fir, is become truly critical.

The conflitution of this country is at home fapped by bribery and corruption. On the

An Account of the Conduct of the Dowager Ducheis of Marlborough, Page 263.

[†] The great Duke of Marlborough, in a letter to Queen Anne, fays, "It is not my opinion only, but "the opinion of all mankind, that the friendship of "France must needs be destructive to your Majesty t "there being in that court a root of enmity irreconticleable to your Majesty's government, and the religion of these tingdoms."

other fide the Atlantic it is affailed by violence and force of arms. The too, fatal fuccess in this devoted nation is very evident, but in the new world, I trust, as a friend to mankind, that all the despotic meafures of a tyrannical administration will prove It cannot be too often held ineffectual. out to ministerial folly and obstinacy, that it is impossible for this island to conquer and hold America. They are determined and united. Your fleets may indeed every year earry horror through all their coasts. Your armies may possels some sea port towns, but the numerous, and greatly increasing, people of the provinces will retire into the interior parts, of which you have already had some experience. Peaceful towns and villages will cover their fruitful plains, and liberty fix her blest abode among them, the unmolested, happy inhabitants rejoicing that they are procul à Jove, procul à fulmine.

I heartily agree, fir, with the snoble Lord in the amendment proposed; but I go farther, and my opinion is, that if we expect to save the empire, to preserve even for a short period, Canada or the West-Indian islands, or to reco-

[&]amp; Lord John Casend fh, Member for York.

ver any part of the immense territory we have lately lost, we must recall our sleets and armies, immediately repeal all the acts injurious to the Americans passed since 1763, and restore their charters. We may then, if they will forgive, and can trust us, treat with them on just, fair, and equal terms, without the idea of compulsion. In this way only can a foundation be laid for the restoration of peace, internal tranquillity, and unity to this convulsed and dismembered empire.

L 3 VOTES

Farewel the neighing steed, and the shrill trump, The spirit-stirring drum, the ear-piercing sife, The royal banner, and all quality, Pride, pomp, and circumstance of glorious war, Shakespeare.

brandishes the peaceful pen, spills occans of ink, and amutes

[†] Sir William Draper says, "that this country is convulsed in every part by anonymous, wicked, and incendiary writers." Locke is of opinion, that oppression is the great cause of civil commotion," and surely rank, soul acts of oppression have been frequent for many years throughout this empire. The Knight of the Bath being himself a fine, a very sine writer, attributes, in my opinion, too much to the influence of sine writing, perhaps even of his own very sine writing, for the body of the people are more usefully employed than in the perusal of polite, elegant authors. The people do not read very much, nor reason closely on every occasion, but they always feel justly. Sir William, having sheathed the bloody sword, and bid

Votes of February 17, 1777.

The Bill to empower His Majesty to secure and detain Persons charged with, or suspected of, the Crime of High Treason, committed in North America, or on the High Beas, or the Crime of Piracy, was read the third time;

and

arnules himself in tuning to a delicate ear smooth and. melodious periods. He never was the miles gloriofus, but a falle modelly does not make him now conceal the obligation he confers on maskind by his writings, nor content himself with anenymous literary applause. Locke, nobly penfive, regardless of classical praise, intent only on ferving mankind, passed his life in deep refearches concerning the "Human Understanding," and "Civil Government." He does not quite agree with Sir William. He tells us, "people are not fo easily " got out of their old forms, as forme are apt to fug-" geft. They are hardly to be prevailed with, " amend the acknowledged faults, in the frame they " have been accustomed to. Great mistakes in the ruse ling parts, many wrong and inconvenientlaws, and " all the flips of human frailty, will be borne by the " people without mutiny or murmur. But, if a long "train of abuses, prevarications, and artifices, all st tending the fame way, make the defign visible to the " people, and they cannot but feel, what they lie under, " and fee whither they are going; it is not to be won-" dered that they should then rouse themselves, and en-" deavour to put the rule into fuch bands, which may " feeure to them the ends, for which government was " at first erected."

Locke of Civil Government, book II. ch. 19-

and an ingroffed Clause was added, by the House, to the Bill, by way of Ryder.

Mr. Wilkes faid,

Mr. Speaker,

I cannot continue filent while the fate of for important a Bill as the present is depending before this House. Administration at first brought in this Bill in a form, which gave a very general and just alarm to the city, to the nation. If it had passed in that form, in my opinion the whole kingdom would have been put under an interdict of law. The personal liberty of every man in this island had been precarious and infecure, depending folely on the will of the minister. The spirit of the Bill in its original state was oppression and tyranny through every part of the empire. In this state the Bill has continued till this day. By the patriotic zeal of an + honourable gentleman of the law, a clause has just been offered, and adopted by way of Ryder, as it is called in this stage of the Bill, which gives peace of mind, and fecurity in some degree, to every subject resident in this kingdom, for persons under this description are at length de-L 4 clared

[†] John Dunning, Efq; Member for Calne.

clared not to be the objects of this Bill. + Ispeak of the clause, Sir, even as pretended to
be

† In Mr. Burke's Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol it is said, "the main operative regulation of the act is to "fuspend the common law, and the statute, Habeas "Corpus, (the sole securities either for liberty or justice)" with regard to all those who have been out of the realm, or on the high seas, within a given time. "The rest of the people, as I understand, are to continue as they stood before.

"I confels, gentlemen, that this appears to me, as bad in the principle, and far worie in its confefequence, than an univerfal suspension of the Habeas
Corpus act; and the limiting qualification, instead of taking out the sling, does in my humble opinion
forther and environm it to a greater degree. Liberty, if I understand it at all, is a general principle,
and the clear right of all the subjects within the
realm, or of none. Partial freedom seems to me a

" most invidious mode of slavery." p. 15.

When Mr Dunning moved the clause of limitation, he said that he meant to take the sling out of the Bill as to all the people of Great Britain, whom he justly represented as exceedingly alarmed at the suspension of the Common Law and the Hab. as Corpus, at the temporary loss of those bulwarks of the constitution, which Mr. Burke admits to he the sole securities either for liberty or justice. The city of London had petitioned the House of Commons on the 14th of February, and declared, that if the Bill should pass into a law, it would create the greatest uneasiness in the minds of many of His Majesty's good subjects, and tend to excite the most alarming disturbances, &c., &c., therefore earnestly beseching the House, that the said Bill may not pass into a Law: or

be amended by an thonourable gentleman on the treasury bench. His words however, Sir, cut of the realm, are too loofe and ambiguous, by no means descriptive enough of the per-

at leuft to take such care as in their wisdom may seem meet, to prevent it from being extended, in its operation or construction, to any of His Majesty's subjects resident in these kingdoms. The great wish and effort of those gentlemen in opposition, who attended their duty in Parliament, was to have thrown out the Bill, and thereby treed every subject of the empire from the terrors of the arbitrary power proposed to be vested in the present administration. When that was found impracticable after repeated trials in every stage of the Bill, they exerted themselves, and happily succeeded in delivering most of the inhabitants of Great Britain, His Majefly's subjects relident in these kingdoms, from the fangs of a cruel mi-. nistry. To them the nation is indebted for the present peace and security of its capital, of this great seat of empire, of this whole island. Are they now, instead of being thanked, to be harshly told by those, who did not attend their duty in Parliament, that they have done mischief. that, so far from taking out the fting, they have sharpened and envenomed it to a greater degree, that they ought to have suffered so wicked an Act to have been universal, and to have extended to Great Britain as well as America? Are they now to be reproached by those, who would not join in the ministerial attack, that the Act is far worse in its consequence, when by their generous struggles, and glorious victory, it can have no consequence whatever to the most important part of the · empire?

I Charles Wolfran Cornwall, Efq; Member for Winchelsea, a Lord of the Treasury.

fons, who are declared by administration not designed to be the objects of the Bill. The

empire? Were they to have facrificed the fele fecunities either for liberty or justice for themselves, for the whole island, to a speculation, that partial freedom was a most invidious mode of slavery? Mr Burke judges better for his friends, the two Sheriffs of Briftol He sells them in this letter, "I never ventured to put your folid interefis upon speculative grounds." p. 48. I have not heard of any answer to this letter from either of the Sheriffs of Briftol, " that boneff, well-ordered, virtuous city, a " people who preserve more of the original English " heapticity, and purity of manners than perhaps any other." p. 69. As to the Briffol Sheriffs ideas of their folid interests. I take it for granted that they exactly coincide with those of the Sheriffs of London, the Aldermen Plumbe and Thomas. They well know their own folid interests, and certainly proceed upon Peculative grounds effentially different, both in nature and extent, from those of Mr. Burke. Their feeulations do not extend beyond Exchange-Alley. Mr. Burke's angel genius takes in

The hemisphere of earth in cleasest ken. Millions.

I will answer for most City Sherists, whether of Long don or Bristol, at least for the present London Sherists; that they attend to their own solid interests; and "have "never treubled their understandings with speculations concerning the unity of empire, and the identity of ordination of legislative powers." p. 54. Their speculations however are neither partial, nor narrow. They speculate on every thing, on the Omnium with wonderful intelligence and sagacity. If they do not heautifully moralize on this fail and severish being, they

Bill is professed to relate only to treasons committed in North America by persons affually.

F0-

they calculate with amazing exactivels the great uncertainty of buman life, and the most manner degrees of the probability of its continuance in every object of their speculations. Nor are their speculations confined to any faction, or influenced by the blind zeal of party. Whige and Tories, courtiers and patriots, are indifferent to them, as to life annuities, and reversionary payments. They even wish to unite them—in a joint security.

But to return to the argument of the partial suspenfron of the Habeas Corpus. Is a man voluntarily to fubrait to the conflagration of his own dwellings house, because some distant buildings of his are in danger of being burnt? Is a physician to give up every idea of preferving the head or the heart, from a belief that such extremities as the hands or the feet are inan alarming state? Is he to endeavour no cure, because he cannot fave all his patients? If we cannot bestow the godlike gift of univerfal, we ought furely to give partial, freedom. When the minority found it impossible to redeem! from flavery the whole empire, they faved the most valua-They nobly firuggled, and fucceeded for us on this fide the Atlantic. I will trust the fons of America to their own virtue and prowefs. By which I have no doubt of their being finaley faved.

What mode of flavory, however, partial freedom canfeem to any man, I freely own I do not guess. How partialfreedom to some can be the most invidious mode of flavoryto others, is beyond my comprehension. Yet I can conceive partial freedom in some may make the loss of libertymore regretted, more sharply felt, by others. Amongall the different species of flavory, under which the

intirited

refident in that quarter of the globe. Gentletmen, however, on a tour of business to Ireland, or of pleasure to France or Flanders, or even on a fishing party on our own coasts beyond low-water mark, are acknowledged to be out of the realm, and may be brought within the act, even as it now stands. An opening is still lest for public, or private, revenge and oppression to operate. The expression there-

fore

infulted race of man has suffered, partial freedom has not hitherto been elassed, nor did they ever before accord in such friendly, harmonious unison as they are now found by the Sheriffs of Bristol.

Mr. Burke fays, "I have not debated against this er Bill in its progress through the House; because it " would have been vain to oppose, and impossible to " corred it." Page 18. The Bill, and the Minister, were both well corrected by Mr. Dunning. "I cannot 46 conscientiously support what is against my opinion, " nor prudently contend with what I know is irrefili-4 ble. Preserving my principles unshaken, I reserve " my activity for rational endeavours." Page 19. Was the Court faction more determined to carry the American High Treason, than the Civil Lift, Bill? Was the first known by Mr. Burke to be more irresistible than the last? Yet his efforts against the last Bill were great and laudable, although not fuccessful. He did, according to the words of the Preacher, Ecclefiaftes, ch. 6. v. 10. nobly contend with him that was mightier than be --- the Lord North. It was a rational endeavour. Why was not the fame rational endeavour exerted against the American

than out of the realm, if the former words, moved by my honourable friend, in some or one of His Majesty's colonies before-mentioned, or on the High Seas, were rejected. But why, Sir, are words so clear and explicit to be changed, unless for some dark purpose, which dares not be avowed?

This new clause, Sir, has happily narrowed the object of the Bill, but in no degree narrowed the proofs, or the nature of the evidence necessary. I shall therefore give the Bill my hearty negative, for I will never confent to encrease the enormous power of the crown at the expence of the freedom of the sub-

American High Treason Bill? Mr. Dunning's rational indeavour succeeded, and he need not blush for his political company. p. 70. The preservation of property in the Civil List Bill was surely not a consideration of equal importance with the preservation of personal liberty in the American High Treason Bill. There can be but one rule of conduct on these occasions. Watch every opportunity of being useful, at no moment desert the public cause, and of those evils, which you cannot prevent, strive to lessen the magnitude, and correct the malignity; "not that I think it sit for any one to rely too "much on his own understanding, or to be filled with a presumption, not becoming a christian man, in his own personal stability and rectitude." Page 69.

Mibiect. I will not arm ministers with an unconflicutional power, dangerous to the people. In the Preamble to the Bill it is said, " Many ** persons have been seized and taken, who " are expressly charged, or flrongly suspected of fuch Treasons and Pelonies, and many more such persons may be hereafter so seized " and taken." In the first enacting clause of the Bill, and throughout, the word firongly is omitted, and the slightest suspicion may warerant the commitment. The words are, "All and every person or persons, who have been, or shall hereafter be seized or taken in the * act of High Treason, committed in any of 46 His Majosty's colonies or plantations in 44 America, or on the high seas, or in the act " of Piracy, or who are, or fliatt be charged with, or suspected of the crime of High "Treason, committed in any of the said co-40 lonies, or on the high seas." It is therefore apparent that a mere pretended sufficion, or foolish eredulity, or determined villainy, in a wretched, ignorant, mercenary tool of a ministerial magistrate, may still render the objects of this Bill, who are the inhabitants of above half the empire, liable to imprisonment. This imprilonment

prisonment likewise is to be without bail or mainprize, for that cruelty was still determined to be exercised by the committee, natwith flanding the humane motion of my worthy + colleague to leave out those obnoxious words. There is not a fullable in the Bill of the degree of probability attending the suspicion. The Bill, greatly amended as it has been, does not even now roquire an oath, nor that the parties should be heard in their own justification, nor confronted with the witnesses, nor does it mention that thus witnesses should be deemed necessary for the colourable ground of a commitment for fo high a crims as Treason in America, as the law is in other cases within the kingdom. Is it possible, Sir, to give more desposic powers to a balkaw of the Tockish empire? What securimy is left for the devoted objects of this Bill against the malice of a prejudiced individual, a wicked magistrate, who, is he is prosecuted afterwards for fo flagrant an soule of power, will corrainly be indemnified, probably rewarded by a most arbitrary attrainstration? Actions may andred be brought against the offender, but we know.

[#] Mr. Serjeant Glynn, Member for Middlesex.

know all damages recovered, however great, are paid by the people, not by the party. Even in the case of Petit Treason, by an express act of Edward the Sixth, no person can be convicted, but on the oath of two sufficient and lawful witnesses, or consession, wilingly, without violence; so careful, Sir, were our wise ancestors of protecting the liberty of the mean-est subject.

This case, sir, demands our strickest attention and vigilance from what we daily experience of the conduct of those underling officers of every minister, who traffick and deal out iustice, under the colour of legal magistracy. There is now, fir, actually in Newgate an American merchant, named Ebenezer Smith Plat, who stands committed so lately as the 23d of last January, charged with High Treason at Savannah in the colony of Georgia in North America. He is committed by the well known Justice Addington, and, as I am informed, was not allowed to see any of the witnesses .against him, nor even to hear their affidavits He had before been tried on the same charge at Kingston in Jamaica, and acquitted. Linever saw him, but I have read an attested copy

cropy the of the warrant of his commitment. He is charged generally with High Treason, which I take to be an illegal commitment. I do not pretend, Sir, to a deep knowledge of the law. I have only the attentive reading of a private gentleman. I build my legal faith on fome known and approved authorities, a Black-slone, a Burne, and a very few others. Those authors agree, that every warrant of commitment ought to set forth the cause specially, that is to say, not for treason or selony in general, but for treason in compassing the death Vol. I.

† To the Keeper of His Majesty's Gaol of Newgate, or his Deputy.

Middlesex. to wit.

These are in His Majesty's name to Jauthorize and require you to receive into your custody the body of Ebenezer Smith Plat herewith sent you, charged before me upon the oaths of Richard Scriven and Samuel Burnet with High Treason at Savannab in the Colony of Georgia in North America, and you are to keep him safe untill he shall be delivered by due course of law, and for so doing this shall be your Warrant.

Given under my hand and seal this 23d day of January 1777.

W. ADDINGTON.

L. S.

of the king, or levying war against. His Majesty in the realm, or counterfeiting the king's coin, or felony for stealing the goods of such a one to fuch a value, and the like. A court may then judge, whether the offence is such, for which a prisoner ought to be admitted to bail. If then a justice living in the capital, under the immediate eye and direction of ministers, is guilty of such an illegal commitment, what is not to be dreaded from the base engines, and flavish tools, of power in the more remote counties? Is it possible, fir, for too great caution to be used by enforcing in the body of a Bill, which is to suspend the Habeas Corpus act. the necessity of + an oath, of two witnesses to the charge.

Mr. Speaker,
I think it my duty to lay before the House a few
facts, which have occurred since our last meeting, because.

[†] The abuse of power in the illegal treatment, which Mr. Wilkes experienced in his own person in April 1763, gave additional energy to his reasoning, and pointed every argument. There was no accusation on oath against him, either before the first warrant of apprehension, or the commitment to the Tower. He was not admitted to see any one of his accusers, or witnesses. His Speech in the House of Commons on the first day of the subsequent Session, Nov. 15, 1763, states the enormity of the proceedings against him so fully, that I shall give it entre.

scharge, and of their being confronted with the

M e

The

france, in my humble opinion, which I shall always submit to this House, the rights of all the Commons of England, and the privileges of Parliament, have in my person been highly violated. I shall at present content myself with barely stating the facts, and leave the mode of proceeding to the wisdom of the House.

On the 20th of April in the morning I was made a prisoner in my own house by some of the King's amessengers. I demanded by what authority they sforced their way into my room, and was shewn a warrant issued, without oath, by Lord Halifax, Secretary of State, in which no person was named in particular, but generally the " authors, printers, and pub-" lifters, of a feditious and treasonable paper, entitled the North Briton, No. 45." The messengers insisted on my going before Lord Halifan, which I absolutely refuted, because I knew the warrant was illegal. applied by my friends to the Court of Common Pleas for a Habeas Corpus, which was granted, but as the proper office was not then open, it could not immediately iffue. I was afterwards carried by violence before the Earls of Egremont and Halifax, whom I in--formed of the orders given by the Court of Common Pleas for a Habeas Corpus, and when I was ordered into another apartment, I enlarged on this subject a confiderable time to Mr. Webb, the Solicitor of the Treasury. I was confronted with no witness, nor did I fee any accuser. I was carried to the Tower by wirtue of another warrant, issued likewise without reath, which declared me "the author, and publisher 44 of a most infamous and seditions libel, entitled the 66 North

The case of P'at, Sir, gives us an instance of another violation of the law, an evasion of the Habeas Corpus act, that boly statute, which ministers hold in abhorrence, and are now al-

lowed

" North Briton, No. 45." The word treasonable was dropped. I was however with great strictness detained a close prisoner, and no person suffered to come near me for almost three days, although my counsel and feveral friends demanded admittance, in order to concert the means of recovering my liberty. My house was plundered, my bureaus broke open, by order of two of your members, Mr. Wood and Mr. Webb. and all my papers carried away. After fix days imprisonment, I was discharged by the unanimous judgment of the Court of Common Pleas, that the privilege of this House extended to my case. Notwithstand, ing this folemn decision of one of the King's superior courts of justice, a few days after I was ferved with a Subpana upon an Information exhibited against me in the King's Bench. I loft no time in confulting the best books as well as the greatest living authorities. and from the truest judgment I could form, I thought the ferving me with a Subpæna was another violation of the privilege of Parliament, which I will neither defert nor betray, and therefore I have not yet entered an appearance.

I now stand in the judgment of the House, submitting with the utmost deference the whole case to their justice and wisdom, and beg leave to add, that if, after this important business has in its full extent been maturely weighed, you shall be of opinion that I am entitled to privilege, I shall then be not only ready, but eagerly desirous to wave that privilege, and to pus

myself upon a Jury of my countrymen.

Towed in England to evade with impunity, in America. I fear, to suspend for very near a twelvemonth. The history of it is this. Plat was first confined to the Antelope for three months. then removed to the Boreas for four weeks. then carried on board the Pallae, and in her brought in irons to England. On her arrival at Portsmouth he was removed on board the Centaur for three weeks, then to the Barfleur. On the 4th of January last, an Habeas Corpus was obtained, directed to the captain of the Barfleur; but before it could be served, an express was sent from the treasury by their solicitor, and Plat was removed again to the Centaur before the Habeas Corpus could arrive at Portsmouth. The return to that Habeas Corpus was thus eluded; but on his friends declaring that they were determined to sue out another, Plat was at last sent to the capital, and in the illegal' mode, which I have stated, committed to Newgate. + I speak, Sir, in the hearing of many M 3 gen-

† Mr. Plat was returned to the Judges at the Old Bailey, July 2, 1777, as one of the "Middlefex "Prisoners upon orders," in the custody of the Keeper of Newgate. "No. 22. Ebenezer Smith Plat, "committed Jan. 23, 1777, by W. Addington, Esq; "charged,

gentlemen, who ought to contradict me, if F have advanced a fingle circumstance not found ed in truth. Can ministers, Sir, who are canable of thus trampling on our most facted? laws. be too narrowly watched too deeply fufpeded, too firongly guarded against? Do we not owe it to the people to demand every fecurity from the fanction of an oath, the namber of witnesses, the confronting of them withthe priloner, the hearing him in his own justification, and other circumstances, of whichnot the least trace is to be found in this criminal, arbitrary Bill! Is the perforal liberty of the subject to rest on the mere pretended suspicion of a man, who acts probably under the orders of a professed ministerial agent, ever ready to make his court to power by the facrifice of public virtue and innocence, whose incapacity perhaps can only be equalled by his meannels and fordid luft of gain?

Tres

[&]quot; charged, on oath of Richard Scriven and Samuel 4 Burnet, with High Treason at Savannah in the Co-" lony of Georgia in North America; ordered to re-44 main on his commitment. Was on the 12th days

[&]quot; of May taken by Habeas Corpus into His Majefty's

[&]quot; Court of King's Bench, and remanded."

I regret, fir, the indecent rage, the extravagant madness, with which every measure, and in particular the Bill in question, has been carried on against the Americans. It precludes every possibility of a reconciliation, so ardently to be wished. All the measures respecting America, and this Bill in particular, as it was at first brought in, bear the strongest marks of a regular system of despotism among our rulers. They originate from the latent, dark, all-controlling power of the + man, who

M 4

suants

In a note Junius adds, " the Ministry having en-"deavoured to exclude the Dowager out of the Reof gency Bill, the EARL OF EUTE DITERMINED TO DISMISS

[†] Mr. Pitt made use of this expression in the House of Commons, speaking of the Earl of Bute. Junius fays, " Lord Bute was not of a temper to relinguish 40 power, though he retired from employment. Sipuer lations were certainly made between your Grace [the " Duke of Bedford] and him, and certainly violated. 46 After two years submission, you thought you had " coilected a strength sufficient to controul his influes ence, and that it was your turn to be a tyrant, beer cause you had been a flave. When you found youres felf mistaken in your opinion of your gracious maset ter's firmness, disappointment got the better of all er your humble discretion, and carried you to an ** excess of outrage to bis perfon, as distant from true of spirit, as from all decency and respect."

wants wisdom, and holds principles incompatible with freedom. Let us advert, fir, for a moment to the difference of two cases in point, the suspicion only of high treason in America, and the actual charge of it here. A man only suspected of high treason in America, for instance, the giving aid or affistance to the Congress, or to any of the King's enemies, may on coming over to England becommitted to prison, and by this Bill continue there without bail or mainprize, or being able to bring on his trial for near a year, till January 1, 1778, to which time this bill is to continue in force. In this kingdom a mansuppetted, or even actually charged with High Treason in conspiring the death of the King, or levying war in the realm, may have an Ha-

beas

es dismiss them. Upon this the Dake of Bedford

[&]quot;demanded an audience of the -----, reproached him in plain terms, with his duplicity, baseness,

[&]quot; falfhood, treachery, and hypocrify, --- repeatedly gave

[&]quot; him the lie, and left him in convultions."

Junius, vol. I. p. 171.

When the King came out of the closet, His Majesty appeared in very great agitation, and said to one of his servants, "THE FELLOW has done every thing but "firike me."

beas Corpus, and be bailed by the Court of King's Bench. The Inspicion therefore of American treason seems a deeper crime in the judgment of our present ministers, than an overt act of English, or more probably Scottist, treason, or rebellion against his Majesty's person, title, crown, or dignity. It must be thought, fir, a deeper crime, because it is more grievously punished. Do we imagine the Americans will not retaliate, or do we vainly hope to intimidate them? Their cause is good, and after all the idle tales of our late visionary successes, the justice of it must in the end prevail. They are now nobly struggling under the sharpest sufferings, but I trust they have steady zeal and unwearied perseverance. In all events, the first moment of a foreign war neceffarily obliges us to withdraw our fleets and armies. Every part of North America must then be free and independent. This Bill can only irritate, and will not intimidate. It will probably be answered by a spirited resolution of the Congress-Would to God, fir, the British Parliament equalled that Congress of Heroes, that more than Roman senate, in wisdom, in fortitude.

fortitude, in love of their country, in uncortuptedness, in public virtue!

The second enacting clause of the Bill, sir, impowers " his Majesty, by warrant under es his fign manual, to appoint one or more of places of confinement, within the realm, for of the cuftody of such prisoners, and all and every magistrate or magistrates, having com-46 perent authority in that behalf, are hereby 44 authorised to commit such persons as aforeof faid to fuch place or places of confinement, es fo to be appointed, instead of the common es gaol." This claufe may operate in a manner more to be dreaded than any banishment, or confinement out of the realm. A power, which may be thus grofsly abused, ought not to be trusted without restriction to any man. A person only suspected, or pretended to be so, may be doomed to a damp and noxious dungeon, in the midst of putrid marshes, or on the most swampy coast. He may be stifled in a vault, to whose foul mouth no healthsome air breathes in. I, fir, perhaps may at last be suspetted, and possibly it will not be a slight suspici-I have formerly experienced an illegal, dofe.

"close, and rigorous imprisonment in the Towery, but by this Bill I may be sent to the gloomy wastes and deserts of the North, to the barbarous klightands of Scotland, or among the savages in the dreaty Isle of Buts, from whose bourn k and sure I should never return, even as a traveller, much less as a prisoner. Is this clause ingeniously meant, fir, as a new mode of respecifing that ancient, abandoned kingdom?

Much has been faid, fir, both in the Committee and in the House about a Distant, and his extensive powers. Many periods of the Roman History have been retailed out to us minutely enough, and they have furnished many parallels. Comparisons between that virtuous republic, and this corrupt monarchy, are generally, in my opinion, more brilliant than solid, more beautiful than just. A kight +Honorable Gentleman under the gallery has just observed that our glorious Deliverer, William III,

-

The law of England appoints imprisonment in euflodiam not in panam, acknowledges no close imprisonment, whereas I was kept with the most extremerigour.

Algernon Sydney's apology in the day of his death. Page 173.

[†] Right Hon. Henry Seymour, Conway, Member for Midhurft.

was a Dictator here after the suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act in his reign. Should the present Bill for the fuspension of that act pass into a law, I shall regard the noble Lord with the blue ribband as the modern Dictator of this great empire, as possessed of the most ampleand despotic powers. The first important act of public business in the Roman Distator was to name his coadjutor in office, the Magister Equitum, or General of Horse. If public gratitude has any weight with the Distator bere, I' am fure for fuch an office he will immediately fix his eyes on the & noble Lord at his right hand, who, to his immortal honour, with great: and invincible courage, advanced and charged: the enemies of our country at the head of the British horse. In one particular respecting the Dictator of ancient times, I defire to fet right a very 1 high Law-Officer among us. All the Roman magistrates were not, as he says, superseded by that creation. The Tribunes of the People, but they alone, preserved their authority, even under a Dictator. Τt:

§ Lord George Germaine, in 1759 Lord George Sackville.

[†] Attorney-General, Edward Thurlow, Eiq; Member for Tamworth.

. It has been faid, fir, by another gentleman, who is likewise in a | great Law-Office, that in this House a discontented party have ridiculously given into a tone of Prophecy, which has never been accomplished, and that particularly about a year ago it was the case of the Right Honorable Gentleman, who spoke lately under the gallery. It is not I believe very parliamentary to quote words fooken in a former debate. But if that member's memoty goes to a prophery of one year, which has not been fulfilled, he will permit mine a fair excursion to another Prophecy of his own, fix years ago, which has been exactly verified. His Propley in this House was, that if the violent measures against the Americans were persisted in, the colonies, which formed so great a strength to this kingdom in the reign of George II, would be diffevered from the British empire in the reign of George III. No Prophecy, fir, ever received a more perfect accomplishment. This gentleman won-

won-

Junius, vol. 2. p. 185.

^{||} Solicitor-General, Alexander Wedderburne, Esq; Member for Okehampton.

As for Mr. Wedderburne, there is something about him, which even treachery cannot trust.

derfully possesses the second sight of his native country. How deeply criminal he and others have been in the bringing his Prophecy to pass, I hope this House will one day enquire.

A very extraordinary observation of the same gentleman in the profest debate, amidst a variety of heterogeneous matter, it is impossible for me not to mention. He has laughed at universal benevolence, and endeavoured to demonstrate the impossibility of its existence. But, fir, he has only given us the narrow, contracted, felfish ideas of his sown heart, and his own *country. His fentiments and his feelings are confined to a very small infignificant eirole indeed. They are merely Claunith and Scottifb. His romarks I saw excited a geneand indignation in this House. An Englishman has ideas infinitely more libered and enlarged. His heart expands itself, and takes in the gemeral good and prosperity of mankind. It faels

Junius. Preface, p. 25.

We must be conversant with the Scots in private Life, and observe their principles of acting to us, and to each other;—the characteristic prudence, the selfish nationality, the indefatigable smile, the persevering affidulty, the everlasting profession of a discreet and moderate resentment.

feels not the rancour, and disdains the injustice, of fuch a cruel, perfecuting Bill, as the object of this day's debate. It forms the warmest wishes for the liberty and happiness of every individual of this late flourishing empire. Univerfal benevolence, and a generous spirit of humanity, have been no less the characteristics of the inhabitants of the Southern parts of this island, than that good-nature, for which foreigners have not even a name. I will only add, fir, that I think the most beautiful sentence of all antiquity is that, which was received with such applause by the generous and free Roman people, and an English senate will furely adopt against every measure of oppression and cruelty, home sum, humani nihil à me alienum pute,

THE

THE PROTEST OF

THE EARL OF ABINGDOM.

Entered February 24, 1777,

On the Journals of the House of Lords.

Dissentient, /

FIRST, Because I look upon this Bill, not only as a part of that system of Colony-Government, so inimical to Civil Liberty, so repugnant to the first and fundamental principles of the Constitution, so ruinous in its measures, so shocking to humanity, and so averse from that now exploded virtue of universal benevolence, but because I see herein that system coming home to ourselves, and with hasty

halty steps pointing its dangers, even to-

Secondly, Because the Bill itself is attended with powers subversive of and unknown to the laws of the land, by apprehending persons, it may be, on groundless suspicion, by imprisoning perhaps the innocent, without the usual and necessary form of a single oath; and not too in the common gool of the county, but in whatever part of the realm, be it ever so distant; that persecution shall think fit to adopt.

Thirdly, Because, although the Ryder, which has been added by the other House, does in some degree abate the rigour of this harsh and alarming Bill, yet it does not sufficiently provide for the security of his Majesty's loyal subjects; the inhabitants of the West-India Islands under its baneful operation; nay, even any individual of this country, who shall venture on the High Seas, if only to make the tour of the Hebrides, may become the object of suspicion, and the victim of tengeance.

Vol. I.

N

Laftly,

Laftly, Because the hour is come, if from motives of policy only, that coercion to lenity should give way.

(Signed)

ABINGDON.*

This noble Earl has been one of the most steady and intrepid affertors of liberty in this age. England has effential obligations to the family of the Earl of Abingdon. When the Prince of Orange was at Exeter in great difficulties foon after his landing, when, " both the clergy and magistrates of Exeter were very " fearful, and very backward, when the Bishop and "the Dean ran away, and the Clergy food off," as Bishop Burnet says, Lord Abingdon came in and joined our glorious Deliverer. The same ardour for freedom glows in the breast of his noble descendant, with an almost unexampled spirit and activity. The citizens of Geneva, as well as the people of England, dwell with pleasure on the praise of a peer, who has made the most generous efforts for them in the cause of public liberty, and often sacrificed the pleasures and enjoyments of focial life to their interests. No gentleman was ever more formed to please and captivate in private life than the present Lord Abingdon, or has been more defervedly, more generally effeemed and beloved. He possesses true honour in the highest degree, has generous sentiments of friendship, and to superior manly sense joins the most easy wit, with a gaiety of temper, which diffules univerfal chearfulness. It is impossible not to be charmed with the happy prodigality of nature in his fayour, but every confideration yields with him to a warm attachment to the laws and constitution of England.

SPEECHES.

1775	Jan.	26	-		-	•	-	Page	3
	Feb.	1		-		-		-	4
	/	6	•		-	-		•	11
		22		-		-	-	-	31
	March	29	-		-	-		•	62
	oa.	26		-		-	-	-	64
	Nov.	27	-		-	-		-	74
1776	March	21		-		-	-	-	85
	April	30	-		-	•		-	111
	Oa.	31		-		-	-	-	127
1777	Feb.	17	-		-	-		-	148
	P	R	0	Ţ	E	S	T	•	
1777	Feb.	24	-		_	-		•	176

END OF THE FIRST VOLUME.







